Distributed Control and Coordination Algorithms



Francesco Bullo

Center for Control, Dynamical Systems & Computation University of California at Santa Barbara http://motion.me.ucsb.edu

3rd WIDE PhD School on Networked Control Systems Siena, Italy, July 7, 2009

Acknowledgments: These slides are mostly based on joint work and manuscript with Jorge Cortés and Sonia Martínez at UC San Diego. Some results are ionit work with: Ruegero Carli, Joev Durham, Paolo Frasca,

Anurag Ganguli, Stephen Smith and Sara Susca.

Self-organized behaviors in biological groups



motion patterns in 1, 2 and 3 dimensions





territory partitioning in fish, ants and sparrows







Cooperative multi-agent systems

What kind of systems?

Groups of agents with control, sensing, communication and computing

Each individual

- senses its immediate environment
- communicates with others
- processes information gathered
- takes local action in response







Decision making in animals

Able to

- forage over a given region
- assume specified pattern
- rendezvous at a common point
- jointly initiate motion/change direction in a synchronized way

shavior

Species achieve synchronized behavior

- with limited sensing/communication between individuals
- without apparently following group leader

References

- L. Conradt and T. J. Roper. Group decision-making in animals. Nature, 421(6919):155–158, 2003
- I. D. Couzin, J. Krause, N. R. Franks, and S. A. Levin. Effective leadership and decision-making in animal groups on the move. *Nature*, 433(7025):513–516, 2005



Engineered multi-agent systems

Embedded robotic systems and sensor networks for

• high-stress, rapid deployment — e.g., disaster recovery networks

Research challenges

 distributed environmental monitoring — e.g., portable chemical and biological sensor arrays detecting toxic pollutants **Dynamics** autonomous sampling for biological applications — e.g., monitoring of Feedback species in risk, validation of climate and oceanographic models • science imaging — e.g., multispacecraft distributed interferometers flying Information flow in formation to enable imaging at microarcsecond resolution Reliability/performance UCSD Scripps Research objectives Technical approach Design of provably correct coordination algorithms for basic tasks 4

Formal model to rigorously formalize, analyze, and compare coordination algorithms

Mathematical tools to study convergence, stability, and robustness of coordination algorithms

Coordination tasks

exploration, map building, search and rescue, surveillance, odor localization, monitoring, distributed sensing

- s

with limited-sensing/communication agents?

simple interactions give rise to
rich emerging behavior
rather than open-loop computation
for known/static setup
who knows what, when, why, how,
lynamically changing
robust, efficient, predictable behavior

How to coordinate individual agents into coherent whole?

What useful engineering tasks can be performed

Objective: sustematic methodologies to design and analyze cooperative strategies to control multi-agent systems

mization Methods	Geometry & Analysis
resource allocation	 computational structures
geometric optimization	 differential geometry
oad balancing	 nonsmooth analysis
trol & Robotics	Distributed Algorithms
algorithm design	 adhoc networks
cooperative control	 decentralized vs centralized
stability theory	 emerging behaviors





Text: Distributed Control of Robotic Networks





Communication models for robotic networks



Relevant graphs

- fixed, directed, balanced
- switching
- geometric or state-dependent
- random, random geometric

packet/bitsabsolute or relative positions

o packet losses

Message model

message

Prototypical examples

Locally-connected first-order robots in \mathbb{R}^d S_{disk}

- n points $x^{[1]}, \ldots, x^{[n]}$ in $\mathbb{R}^d, d \ge 1$
- obeying $\dot{x}^{[i]}(t) = u^{[i]}(t)$, with $u^{[i]} \in [-u_{\max}, u_{\max}]$
- identical robots of the form

 $(\mathbb{R}^d, [-u_{\max}, u_{\max}]^d, \mathbb{R}^d, (\mathbf{0}, e_1, \dots, e_d))$

 \bullet each robot communicates to other robots within r

Variations

- O \mathcal{S}_{D} same dynamics, but Delaunay graph
- O $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{LD}}:$ same dynamics, but r-limited Delaunay graph
- O $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{vehicles}}:$ same graph, but nonholonomic dynamics

Synchronous control and communication

- communication schedule
- communication alphabet
- set of values for logic variables
- message-generation function
- state-transition functions
- ontrol function



msg: $\mathbb{T} \times X \times W \times I \rightarrow L$ stf: $\mathbb{T} \times W \times L^N \rightarrow W$ ctrl: $\mathbb{R}_{>0} \times X \times W \times L^N \rightarrow U$

Task and complexity

- Coordination task is $(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{T})$ where $\mathcal{T}: X^N \times \mathcal{W}^N \to \{\texttt{true}, \texttt{false}\}$
 - O Logic-based: achieve consensus, synchronize, form a team
 - Motion: deploy, gather, flock, reach pattern
 - Sensor-based: search, estimate, identify, track, map
- \bullet For $\{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{T},\mathcal{CC}\},$ define costs/complexity: control effort, communication packets, computational cost
- \bullet Time complexity to achieve ${\mathcal T}$ with ${\mathcal {CC}}$

 $\begin{aligned} \mathsf{TC}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{CC}, x_0, w_0) &= \inf \left\{ \ell \mid \mathcal{T}(x(t_k), w(t_k)) = \mathsf{true}, \text{ for all } k \geq \ell \right\} \\ \mathsf{TC}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{CC}) &= \sup \left\{ \mathsf{TC}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{CC}, x_0, w_0) \mid (x_0, w_0) \in X^N \times \mathcal{W}^N \right\} \\ \mathsf{TC}(\mathcal{T}) &= \inf \left\{ \mathsf{TC}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{CC}) \mid \mathcal{CC} \text{ achieves } \mathcal{T} \right\} \end{aligned}$



Outline

Models for multi-agent networks	References
	algorithm for mobile robots with limit and since Jistrotuted memoryless point convergence algorithm for mobile robots with limited visibility. <i>IEEE Transactions on Robotics and Automation</i> , 15(5):818–828, 1999
Maintaining connectivity	 Z. Lin, M. Broucke, and B. Francis. Local control strategies for groups of mobile autonomous agents. <i>IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control</i>, 49(4):622–629, 2004
Circumcenter algorithms Correctness analysis	P. Flocchini, G. Prencipe, N. Santoro, and P. Widmayer. Gathering of asynchronous oblivious robots with limited visibility. <i>Theoretical Computer Science</i> , 337(1-3):147–168, 2005
• Time complexity analysis	 J. Lin, A. S. Morse, and B. D. O. Anderson. The multi-agent rendezvous problem. Part 1: The synchronous case. SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization, 46(6):2096-2119, 2007
 Deployment Multi-center functions 	
Geometric-center lawsPeer-to-peer laws	 J. Cortés, S. Martínez, and F. Bullo. Robust rendezvous for mobile autonomous agents via proximity graphs in arbitrary dimensions. <i>IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control</i>, 51(8):1289–1298, 2006
• Laws for disk-covering and sphere-packing	S. Martínez, F. Bullo, J. Cortés, and E. Frazzoli. On synchronous robotic networks – Part II: Time complexity of rendezvous and deployment algorithms. In <i>IEEE Conf. on Decision and Control and European Control Conference</i> , pages 8313–8318, Seville, Spain, December 2005
Summary and conclusions	A. Ganguli, J. Cortés, and F. Bullo. Multirobot rendezvous with visibility sensors in nonconvex environments. <i>IEEE Transactions on Robotics</i> , 25(2):340–352, 2009

17 / 96

Rendezvous coordination task

Objective:

Bullo, Cortés &

achieve multi-robot rendezvous; i.e. arrive at the same location of space, while maintaining connectivity









We have to be careful...



Rendezvous and connectivity maintenance

Blindly "getting closer" to neighboring agents might break overall connectivity

Outline

Models for multi-agent networks

2 Rendezvous and connectivity maintenance

- Maintaining connectivity
- Circumcenter algorithms
- Correctness analysis
- Time complexity analysis

B Deployment

- Multi-center functions
- Geometric-center laws
- Peer-to-peer laws
- Laws for disk-covering and sphere-packing

Summary and conclusions

Design constraint sets with key properties

- Constraints are flexible enough so that network does not get stuck
- Constraints change continuously with agents' position





r-disk connectivity

visibility connectivity

Enforcing range-limited links – pairwise

Definition (Pairwise connectivity maintenance problem)

Given two neighbors in $\mathcal{G}_{disk}(r)$, find a rich set of control inputs for both agents with the property that, after moving, both agents are again within distance r



If dist $(p^{[i]}(\ell), p^{[j]}(\ell)) \leq r$, and remain in ball of radius r/2 (connectivity set), then dist $(p^{[i]}(\ell+1), p^{[j]}(\ell+1)) \leq r$ Enforcing range-limited links – w/ all neighbors

Definition (Connectivity constraint set)

Given a group of agents at positions $P = \{p^{[1]}, \dots, p^{[n]}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ The connectivity constraint set of agent *i* with respect to *P* is intersection of pairwise connectivity constraint set



Constraint sets for connectivity

Enforcing range-limited line-of-sight links - pairwise

Given nonconvex $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, contraction is $Q_{\delta} = \{q \in Q \mid \text{dist}(q, \partial Q) \ge \delta\}$

Pairwise connectivity maintenance problem:

Given two neighbors in $\mathcal{G}_{vis-disk,O_4}$, find a rich set of control inputs for both agents with the property that, after moving, both agents are again within distance r and visible to each other in Q_s





for each pair of visible robots

visibility pairwise constraint set

Topology matters

Connectivity constraint procedure over sparser graphs \implies fewer constraints:

- select a graph that has same connected components
- select a graph whose edges can be computed in a distributed way





locally-cliqueless visibility graph

Circumcenter control and communication law

circumcenter CC(W) of bounded set W is center of closed ball of minimum radius containing W circumradius CR(W) is radius of this ball



At each communication round each agent:

(i) transmits its position and receives its neighbors' positions

(ii) computes circumcenter of point set comprised of its neighbors and of itself

(iii) moves toward this circumcenter point while remaining inside constraint set

2 Rendezvous and connectivity maintenance

- Models for multi-agent networks Maintaining connectivity
 - Circumcenter algorithms
 - Correctness analysis
 - Time complexity analysis

B Deployment

Outline

- Multi-center functions
- Geometric-center laws
- Peer-to-peer laws
- Laws for disk-covering and sphere-packing

Summary and conclusions

Circumcenter control and communication law

Simulations













Outline

Models for multi-agent networks

2 Rendezvous and connectivity maintenance

- Maintaining connectivity
- Circumcenter algorithms
- Correctness analysis
- Time complexity analysis

B Deployment

- Multi-center functions
- Geometric-center laws
- Peer-to-peer laws
- Laws for disk-covering and sphere-packing

Summary and conclusions

Formal algorithm description

Robotic Network: S_{disk} with a discrete-time motion model, with absolute sensing of own position, and with communication range r, in \mathbb{R}^d

Distributed Algorithm: circumcenter Alphabet: $L = \mathbb{R}^d \cup \{\text{null}\}$

function msg(p, i)

1: return p

function ctrl(p, y)

- 1: $p_{\text{goal}} := \mathsf{CC}(\{p\} \cup \{p_{\text{revd}} \mid \text{for all non-null } p_{\text{revd}} \in y\})$
- 2: $\mathcal{X} := \mathcal{X}_{disk}(p, \{p_{revd} \mid \text{ for all non-null } p_{revd} \in y\})$
- 3: return $fi(p, p_{goal}, X) p$



luly 7, 2009 32 / 96

Some good news: Lyapunov functions

• map $T: X \to X$ • consider the sequence $\{x_\ell\}_{\ell>0} \subset X$ with $x_{\ell+1} = T(x_{\ell})$ Assume: **9** $W \subset X$ compact and positively invariant for T convex hull $U: W \to \mathbb{R}$ non-increasing along T relative convex hull \bigcirc U and T are continuous on W Lyapunov function: diameter or perimeter of convex hull If $x_0 \in W$, then Let S be a set of points in \mathbb{R}^d $x_\ell \rightarrow \{w \in W \mid U(T(w)) = U(w)\}$ CC(S) belongs to co(S) \ Ve(co(S)) (more precisely, largest invariant set thereof, intersected with level set) pick p ∈ S \ CC(S) and r ≥ max_{q∈S} ||p − q||. Then, for all q ∈ S the open segment (p, CC(S)) has nonempty intersection with $B\left(\frac{p+q}{2}, \frac{r}{2}\right)$ Some bad news Alternative idea Circumcenter algorithms are nonlinear discrete-time dynamical systems Fixed undirected graph G, define fixed-topology circumcenter $x_{\ell+1} = f(x_{\ell})$ algorithm To analyze convergence, we need at least f continuous – to use classic $f_G: (\mathbb{R}^d)^n \to (\mathbb{R}^d)^n, \quad f_G: (p_1, \dots, p_n) = fti(p, p_{goal}, \mathcal{X}) - p$ Lyapunov/LaSalle results But circumcenter algorithms are discontinuous because of changes in Now, there are no topological changes in f_G , hence f_G is continuous interaction topology Obtained the set-valued map T_{CC} : (ℝ^d)ⁿ ⇒ (ℝ^d)ⁿ $T_{CC}(p_1,\ldots,p_n) = \{f_G(p_1,\ldots,p_n) \mid G \text{ connected}\}$

Convergence thm #1: standard version

tes & Martinez (UCSB) Distributed Coordination Algorithms Siena, July 7, 2009 35 / 96 Billio, Cortes & Martinez (UCSB) Distributed Coordination

na, July 7, 2009 36 / 96

Convergence thm: nondeterminism

set-valued T : X ⇒ X with T(x) = {T_i(x)}_{i∈I} for finite I consider sequences {x_ℓ}_{ℓ>0} ⊂ X with

 $x_{\ell+1} \in T(x_\ell)$



Convergence thm #2: arbitrary switches

- finite collection of maps $T_i \colon X \to X$ for $i \in I$
- consider a sequence $\{x_\ell\}_{\ell \ge 0} \subset X$ with

 $x_{\ell+1} = T_{i(\ell)}(x_{\ell})$

Assume:

W ⊂ X compact and positively invariant for each T_i

(a) $U: W \to \mathbb{R}$ non-increasing along each T_i

 $\textcircled{O} U \text{ and } T_i \text{ are continuous on } W$

If $x_0 \in W$, then

$$x_{\ell} \rightarrow \{w \in W \mid U(T_i(w)) = U(w) \text{ for some } i\}$$

(more precisely, largest invariant set thereof, intersected with level set)

Correctness via LaSalle Invariance Principle Correctness Theorem (Correctness of the circumcenter laws) • evolution starting from P_0 is contained in $co(P_0)$ \bigcirc T_{CC} is finite collection of continuous maps For $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, the following statements hold: each map is circumcenter algorithm at fixed connected topology on S_{disk}, the law CC_{circumcenter} (with control magnitude bounds and • define U = diameter of convex hull = maximum pairwise distance relaxed G-connectivity constraints) achieves T_{rendezvous}; • U is non-decreasing along each of the maps T_{cc} on SID, the law CC circumcenter achieves Terranderyous Furthermore, Application of convergence thm: trajectories starting at P_0 converge to • if any two agents belong to the same connected component at $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then they continue to belong to the same connected component subsequently; $\{P \in \mathsf{co}(P_0) \mid \exists P' \in T_{CC}(P) \text{ such that } \mathsf{diam}(P') = \mathsf{diam}(P)\}$ and (a) for each evolution, there exists $P^* = (p_1^*, \ldots, p_n^*) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^n$ such that: the evolution asymptotically approaches P^{*}, and Additionally. for each i, j ∈ {1,...,n}, either $p_i^* = p_i^*$, or $||p_i^* - p_i^*||_2 > r$ V is strictly decreasing unless all robots are coincident • all robots converge to a stationary point, again because $co(P_0)$ is invariant Similar result for visibility networks in non-convex environments

uly 7, 2009 40 / 96

Robustness of circumcenter algorithms



Rendezvous

Models for multi-agent networks

2 Rendezvous and connectivity maintenance

- Maintaining connectivity
- Circumcenter algorithms
- Correctness analysis
- Time complexity analysis

B Deployment

- Multi-center functions
- Geometric-center laws
- Peer-to-peer laws
- Laws for disk-covering and sphere-packing

Summary and conclusions

Theorem (Time complexity of circumcenter laws)

- For $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and $\epsilon \in]0, 1[$, the following statements hold:
- on the network S_{disk} , evolving on the real line \mathbb{R} (i.e., with d = 1), $\mathsf{TC}(\mathcal{T}_{\text{rendezvous}}, \mathcal{CC}_{\text{circumcenter}}) \in \Theta(n)$;
- on the network S_{LD}, evolving on the real line ℝ (i.e., with d = 1), TC(T_(re)-rendezvous, CC_{circumcenter}) ∈ Θ(n² log(ne⁻¹)); and





Similar results for visibility networks

July 7, 2009 44 / 96

Time complexity proof techniques

For $N \ge 2$ and $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$, define the $N \times N$ Toeplitz matrices

$$\operatorname{Trid}_{n}(a,b,c) = \begin{bmatrix} b & c & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ a & b & c & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & a & b & c \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & a & b \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\operatorname{Circ}_{n}(a,b,c) = \operatorname{Trid}_{n}(a,b,c) + \begin{cases} 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & a \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{cases}$$

To be studied for interesting a, b, c: e.g., as stochastic matrices whose 2nd eigenvalue converges to 1 as $n \to +\infty$ For $n \ge 2$ and $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$, the following statements hold:

• for $ac \neq 0$, the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $\mathsf{Trid}_n(a, b, c)$ are, for $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} b + 2c \sqrt{\frac{a}{c}} \cos\left(\frac{i\pi}{n+1}\right), \text{ and} \\ \left[\left(\frac{a}{c}\right)^{1/2} \sin\left(\frac{i\pi}{n+1}\right), \dots, \left(\frac{a}{c}\right)^{n/2} \sin\left(\frac{ni\pi}{n+1}\right)\right] \end{aligned}$$

• the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of Circ_n(a, b, c) are, for ω = exp(^{2π√-1}/_n)
and for i ∈ {1,...,n},

$$b + (a+c)\cos\left(\frac{i2\pi}{n}\right) + \sqrt{-1}(c-a)\sin\left(\frac{i2\pi}{n}\right), \text{ and } \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1, \ \omega^{i}, \ \cdots, \ \omega^{(n-1)i} \end{bmatrix}^{T}.$$

Bullo, Cortés & Martínez (UCSB) Distributed Coordination Algorithms Siena, July 7, 2009 45 / 96	Bullo, Cortés & Martínez (UCSB) Distributed Coordination Algorithms Siena, July 7, 2009 46 / 96
Tridiagonal Toeplitz and circulant systems	Outline
Let $n \ge 2$, $c \in]0, 1[$, and $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $x, y : \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{R}^n$ solve: $\begin{aligned} & \chi(\ell+1) = \operatorname{Trid}_n(a, b, c) \chi(\ell), & \chi(0) = x_0, \\ & y(\ell+1) = \operatorname{Circ}_n(a, b, c) y(\ell), & y(0) = y_0. \end{aligned}$ • if $a = c \neq 0$ and $ b + 2 a = 1$, then $\lim_{\ell \to +\infty} \chi(\ell) = 0$, and the maximum time required for $ \chi(\ell) _2 \le \epsilon x_0 _2$ is $\Theta(n^2 \log \epsilon^{-1})$; • if $a \neq 0, c = 0$ and $0 < b < 1$, then $\lim_{\ell \to +\infty} \chi(\ell) = 0$, and the maximum time required for $ \chi(\ell) _2 \le \epsilon x_0 _2$ is $O(n \log n + \log \epsilon^{-1})$; • if $a \ge 0, c \ge 0, b > 0$, and $a + b + c = 1$, then $\lim_{\ell \to +\infty} \chi(\ell) = y_{\text{ave}} 1$, where $y_{\text{ave}} = \frac{1}{h} 1^T y_0$, and the maximum time required for $ \chi(\ell) - y_{\text{ave}} 1 _2 \le \epsilon y_0 - y_{\text{ave}} 1 _2 \le \Theta(n^2 \log \epsilon^{-1})$.	 Models for multi-agent networks Rendezvous and connectivity maintenance Maintaining connectivity Chromeenter algorithms Correctness analysis Correctness analysis Time complexity analysis Deployment Meinteenter functions Geometric-center laws Peer-to-peer laws Laws for disk-covering and sphere-packing Summary and conclusions

Deployment, coverage and partitioning

References

- S. P. Lloyd, Least squares quantization in PCM. IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, 28(2):129–137, 1982. Presented as Bell Laboratory Technical Memorandum at a 1957 Institute for Mathematical Statistics meeting
- 2 Drezner and H. W. Hamacher, editors. Facility Location: Applications and Theory. Springer, 2001
- A. Howard, M. J. Matarić, and G. S. Sukhatme. An incremental self-deployment algorithm for mobile sensor networks. Autonomous Robots, 13(2):113–126, 2002
- F. R. Adler and D. M. Gordon. Optimization, conflict, and nonoverlapping foraging ranges in ants. American Naturalist, 162(5):529–543, 2003
- J. Cortés, S. Martínez, T. Karatas, and F. Bullo. Coverage control for mobile sensing networks. IEEE Transactions on Robotics and Automation, 20(2):243–255, 2004
- J. Cortés, S. Martínez, and F. Bullo. Spatially-distributed coverage optimization and control with limited-range interactions. ESAIM: Control, Optimisation & Calculus of Variations, 11:691-719, 2005
- S. Martínez, J. Cortés, and F. Bullo. Motion coordination with distributed information. IEEE Control Systems Magazine, 27(4):75–88, 2007
- J. Cortés and F. Bullo. Nonsmooth coordination and geometric optimization via distributed dynamical systems. SIAM Review, 51(1):163–189, 2009

Deployment, coverage and partitioning

Optimize: space partitioning, task allocation, sensor placement



- customers appear randomly space/time
- robots know locations and provide service
- goal: minimize wait time

(Pavone, Frazzoli & Bullo; CDC'07 and TAC'09)

Random field estimation

- sensornet estimates spatial stochastic process
- kriging statistical techniques
- goal: minimize error variance

(Graham & Cortés; TAC'09)





Coverage optimization

DESIGN of performance metrics

- \bigcirc how to cover a region with *n* minimum-radius overlapping disks?
- a how to design a minimum-distortion (fixed-rate) vector quantizer?
- where to place mailboxes in a city / cache servers on the internet?

ANALYSIS of cooperative distributed behaviors

• how do animals share territory? how do they decide foraging ranges? how do they decide nest locations?



- what if each vehicle goes to center of mass of own dominance region?
- what if each vehicle moves away from closest vehicle?

Multi-center functions

- place n robots at $p = \{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$
- partition environment into $W = \{W_1, \dots, W_n\}$
- define expected wait time:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\exp}(p,W) = \int_{W_1} ||q - p_1|| dq + \dots + \int_{W_n} ||q - p_n|| dq$$

• or more generally

$$\mathcal{H}_{exp}(p, W) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{W_i} f(||q - p_i||_2)\phi(q)dq$$

where:

 $\phi : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ density

 $f\colon\mathbb{R}_{\geq0}\to\mathbb{R}$ non-decreasing and piecewise continuously differentiable, possibly with finite jump discontinuities



ma, July 7, 2009 52 / 9

Voronoi partitions

Optimality conditions



Gradient of \mathcal{H}_{exp} is distributed

For
$$f$$
 smooth

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{exp}}{\partial p_i}(P) = \int_{V_i(P)} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} f\left(\|q - p_i\|\right) \phi(q) dq \\
+ \int_{\partial V_i(P)} f\left(\|q - p_i\|\right) \langle n_i(q), \frac{\partial q}{\partial p_i} \rangle \phi(q) dq \\
- \int_{\partial V_i(P)} f\left(\|q - p_i\|\right) \langle n_i(q), \frac{\partial q}{\partial p_i} \rangle \phi(q) dq$$

Therefore,

Bullo, Cortés & Martínez (UCSB)

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{exp}}{\partial p_i}(P) = \int_{V_i(P)} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} f(||q - p_i||) \phi(q) dq$$

Particular gradients

Distortion problem: continuous performance,

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{\text{dist}}}{\partial p_i}(P) = 2 \operatorname{area}_{\phi}(V_i(P))(\mathsf{CM}(V_i(P)) - p_i)$$

Area problem: performance has single discontinuity,

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{\operatorname{area},a}}{\partial p_i}(P) = \int_{V_i(P) \cap \partial \overline{B}(p_i,a)} \mathsf{n}_{\operatorname{out},\overline{B}(p_i,a)}(q) \phi(q) dq$$



Bullo, Cortés & Martínez (UCSB) Distributed Coordination Algorithms Siena, July 7, 2009 57 / 96	Bullo, Cortés & Martínez (UCSB) Distributed Coordination Algorithms Siena, July 7, 2009 58 / 96
Smoothness properties of \mathcal{H}_{exp}	Outline
$Dscn(f)$ (finite) discontinuities of $f_{f_{-}}$ and f_{+} , limiting values from the left and from the right	Models for multi-agent networks
Theorem	Rendezvous and connectivity maintenance
Expected-value multicenter function \mathcal{H}_{exp} : $S^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is	Circumcenter algorithms
globally Lipschitz on S ⁿ ; and	• Correctness analysis
\bigcirc continuously differentiable on $S^n \setminus S_{coinc}$, where	• Time complexity analysis
$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{\exp}}{\partial p_{i}}(P) &= \int_{V_{i}(P)} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i}} f(\ q - p_{i}\ _{2})\phi(q)dq \\ &+ \sum_{a \in Dscn(f)} (f_{-}(a) - f_{+}(a)) \int_{V_{i}(P) \cap \partial \overline{\mathcal{B}}(p_{i},a)} n_{out,\overline{\mathcal{B}}(p_{i},a)}(q)\phi(q)dq \\ &= integral \ over \ V_{i} + integral \ along \ arcs \ in \ V_{i} \end{split}$ Therefore, the gradient of \mathcal{H}_{\exp} is spatially distributed over \mathcal{G}_{D}	 Deployment Multi-center functions Geometric-center laws Georetro-peer laws Peer-to-peer laws Laws for disk-covering and sphere-packing Summary and conclusions

Bullo, Cortés & Martínez (UCSB)

Geometric-center laws

All laws share similar structure

environment

communication graphs

Uniform networks S_D and S_{LD} of locally-connected first-order agents in a polytope $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with the Delaunay and r-limited Delaunay graphs as

it transmits its position and receives its neighbors' positions;
it computes a notion of geometric center of its own cell

Between communication rounds, each robot moves toward this center

determined according to some notion of partition of the

At each communication round each agent performs:

$\underset{Optimizes \ distortion}{VRN-CNTRD} \ ALGORITHM$



Robotic Network: S_D in Q, with absolute sensing of own position Distributed Algorithm: VRN-CNTRD Alphabet: $L = \mathbb{R}^d \cup \{\text{null}\}\$ function $\max(p, i)$ 1: return p

function $\operatorname{ctrl}(p, y)$ 1: $V := Q \cap (\bigcap \{H_{p, p_{\operatorname{revd}}} \mid \text{for all non-null } p_{\operatorname{revd}} \in y\})$ 2: return $\mathsf{CM}(V) - p$

Simulation	Voronoi-centroid law on planar vehicles
	Robotic Network: S_{vehicles} in Q with absolute sensing of own position Distributed Algorithm: VRN-CNTRD-DYNMCS Alphabet: $L = \mathbb{R}^2 \cup \{\text{null}\}$ function $\operatorname{msg}((p, \theta), i)$ 1: return p
initial configuration gradient descent final configuration	function $\operatorname{ctrl}((p, \theta), (p_{\operatorname{smpld}}, \theta_{\operatorname{smpld}}), y)$ 1: $V := Q \cap (\bigcap \{H_{p_{\operatorname{smpld}}, p_{\operatorname{revd}} \mid \text{ for all non-null } p_{\operatorname{revd}} \in y\})$ 2: $v := -k_{\operatorname{prop}}(\cos \theta, \sin \theta) \cdot (p - CM(V))$
For $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, the constant accomposition accomposition task $\mathcal{T}_{\text{e-distor-dply}}(P) = \begin{cases} \text{true,} & \text{if } \left\ p^{[i]} - CM(V^{[i]}(P)) \right\ _2 \le \epsilon, \ i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \\ \texttt{false,} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$	3: $\omega := 2k_{prop} \arctan\left(\frac{-\sin\theta \cdot \cos\theta \cdot (p - CM(V))}{(\cos\theta, \sin\theta) \cdot (p - CM(V))}\right)$ 4: return (v, ω)

Algorithm illustration

Simulation







initial configuration

gradient descent

final configuration

Siena July 7 20

66 .

Distributed Algorithm: LMTD-VRN-NRML Alphabet: $L = \mathbb{R}^d \cup \{\text{null}\}$

Bullo, Cortés & Martínez (UCSB)

200

65 / 96





Distributed Coordination Absorithms



initial configuration

gradient descent

final configuration

communication range r

Bullo, Cortés

1:
$$V := Q \cap \left(\bigcap \{ H_{p,previl} \mid \text{for all non-null } p_{revid} \in y \} \right)$$

2: $v := \int_{V \cap \partial \overline{B}(p, \xi)} n_{out, \overline{B}(p, \xi)}(q)\phi(q)dq$
3: $\lambda_* := \max \left\{ \lambda \mid \delta \to \int_{V \cap \overline{B}(p+\delta v, \frac{\xi}{2})} \phi(q)dq \text{ is strictly increasing on } [0, \lambda] \right\}$
4: return $\lambda_* v$

Robotic Network: S_{LD} in Q with absolute sensing of own position and with

For
$$r, \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$$
,

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{T}_{\epsilon\text{-r-aread-ply}}(P) &= \begin{cases} \texttt{true}, & \text{ if } \left\| \int_{V^{[i]}(P) \cap \partial \overline{B}(p^{[i]}, \frac{\epsilon}{2})} \mathsf{n}_{\text{out}, \overline{B}(p^{[i]}, \frac{\epsilon}{2})}(q) \phi(q) dq \right\|_2 \leq \epsilon, \; i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \\ \texttt{false}, & \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Distributed Coordination Algorithms

 $\underset{_{Optimizes \ area}}{\text{LMTD-VRN-NRML}} \ algorithm$

Correctness of the geometric-center algorithms

Time complexity of $CC_{LMTD-VRN-CNTRD}$

Theorem

For $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, the following statements hold.

- on the network S_{vehicles}, the law CC_{VRN-CNTRD-DYNMCS} achieves the e-distortion deployment task T_{e-distor-dply}. Moreover, any execution monotonically optimizes H_{dist}
- on the network S_{LD}, the law CC_{LMTD-VRN-NBAL} achieves the ε-r-area deployment task T_{e-r-area-dply}. Moreover, any execution monotonically optimizes H_{area,ξ}

Assume diam(Q) is independent of n, r and ϵ

Theorem (Time complexity of LMTD-VRN-CNTRD law)

Assume the robots evolve in a closed interval $Q \subset \mathbb{R}$, that is, d = 1, and assume that the density is uniform, that is, $\phi \equiv 1$. For $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, on the network S_{LD}

 $\mathsf{TC}(\mathcal{T}_{\epsilon-r-\text{distor-area-dply}}, \mathcal{CC}_{\text{LMTD-VRN-CNTRD}}) \in O(n^3 \log(n\epsilon^{-1}))$

Open problem: characterize complexity of deployment algorithms in higher dimensions

Experimental Territory Partitioning



Takahide Goto, Takeshi Hatanaka, Masayuki Fujita Tokyo Institute of Technology

Experimental Territory Partitioning

Optimal Distributed Coverage Control for Multiple Hovering Robots with Downward Facing Cameras

> Mac Schwager Brian Julian Daniela Rus

Distributed Robots Laboratory, CSAIL

Mac Schwager, Brian Julian, Daniela Rus Distributed Robots Laboratory, MIT

Outline

Models for multi-agent networks

2 Rendezvous and connectivity maintenance

- Maintaining connectivity
- Circumcenter algorithms
- Correctness analysis
- Time complexity analysis

B Deployment

- Multi-center functions
- Geometric-center laws
- Peer-to-peer laws
- Laws for disk-covering and sphere-packing

Summary and conclusions

) <mark>-</mark> 🦂

- "Voronoi partitioning + move to center" laws require:
- synchronous & reliable communication
- ommunication along edges of "adjacent regions graph"
- is synchrony necessary?
- is it sufficient to communicate peer-to-peer (gossip)?

Peer-to-peer partitioning policy

Simulations

- andom communication between two regions
- Compute two centers
- Compute bisector of centers
- Partition two regions by bisector



P. Frasca, R. Carli, and F. Bullo. Multiagent coverage algorithms with gossip communication: control systems on the space of partitions. In *American Control Conference*, pages 2228–2235, St. Louis, MO, June 2009





Deployment with minimal communication requirements

Technical Challenges



- O Lyapunov function missing
- state space is not finite-dimensional
 - non-convex disconnected polygons arbitrary number of vertices
- peer-to-peer map is not deterministic, ill-defined and discontinuous two regions could have same centroid disconnected/connected discontinuity
- depending upon communication model, motion protocol for deterministic/random meetings

(TC#1) Lyapunov functions for partitions

Standard coverage control

robot i moves towards centroid of its Voronoi region

$$\mathcal{H}_{\exp}(p_1, \dots, p_N) = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{V_i(p_1, \dots, p_N)} f(\|p_i - q\|) \phi(q) dq$$

Peer-to-peer coverage control

region W_i is modified to appear like a Voronoi region

$$\mathcal{H}_{\exp}(W_1,\ldots,W_N) = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{W_i} f(\|\mathsf{CM}(W_i) - q\|)\phi(q)dq$$

(TC#2) Symmetric difference

 $A\Delta$

(TC#2) The space of partitions

Given sets A, B, symmetric difference and distance are:

$$B = (A \cup B) \setminus (A \cap B), \quad d_{\Delta}(A, B) = measure(A\Delta B)$$



Definition (space of N-partitions)

W is collections of N subsets of Q, $v = \{W_i\}_{i=1}^N$, such that

- $int(W_i) \cap int(W_j) = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$, and
- $\bigcirc \bigcup_{i=1}^N W_i = Q$
- \bigcirc each W_i is closed, has non-empty interior and zero-measure boundary

Theorem (topological properties of the space of partitions)

W with $d_{\Delta}(u, v) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} d_{\Delta}(u_i, W_i)$ is metric and precompact

(TC#3) Convergence thm with uniformly persistent switches	(TC#3) Convergence thm with randomly persistent switches
 X is metric space finite collection of maps T_i: X → X for i ∈ I consider a sequence {x_ℓ}_{ℓ≥0} ⊂ X with x_{ℓ+1} = T_{i(ℓ)}(x_ℓ) Assume: W ⊂ X compact and positively invariant for each T_i U : W → ℝ decreasing along each T_i U and T_i are continuous on W for all i ∈ I, there are infinite times ℓ such that x_{ℓ+1} = T_i(x_ℓ) and delay between any two consecutive times is bounded If x₀ ∈ W, then x_ℓ → (intersection of sets of fixed points of all T_i) ∩ U⁻¹(c) 	• finite collection of maps $T_i: X \to X$ for $i \in I$ • consider sequences $\{x_\ell\}_{\ell \ge 0} \subset X$ with $x_{\ell+1} = T_{i(\ell)}(x_\ell)$ Assume: • $W \subset X$ compact and positively invariant for each T_i • $U: W \to \mathbb{R}$ decreasing along each T_i • U and T_i are continuous on W • there exists probability $p \in [0, 1[$ such that, for all indices $i \in I$ and times ℓ , we have Prob $[x_{\ell+1} = T_i(x_\ell)]$ past] $\ge p$ If $x_0 \in W$, then almost surely $x_\ell \to $ (intersection of sets of fixed points of all T_i) $\cap U^{-1}(c)$
Hullo, Corte & Martiner (UCSB) Distributed Coordination Algorithms Siews, July 7, 2009 81 / 96 Outline	Ballo, Cortes & Martiner (CCSII) Dutrinated Coordination Algorithms Bienx, July 7, 2009 \$2 / 98 Deployment: basic behaviors
 Models for multi-agent networks Rendezvons and connectivity maintenance Maintaining connectivity Circumcenter algorithms Correctness analysis Time complexity analysis 	

Martínez (UCSB)

Distributed Coordination Algorithms

Deployment: 1-center optimization problems	Deployment: 1-center optimization problems
$ \begin{split} & \left(\begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & $	$ \begin{aligned} & \qquad \qquad$
Nonsmooth LaSalle Invariance Principle	Deployment: multi-center optimization sphere packing and disk covering

Cortés &

Bull

Martínez (UCSB

Deployment: multi-center optimization

Voronoi-circumcenter algorithm



Outline

Models for multi-agent networks

2 Rendezvous and connectivity maintenance

- Maintaining connectivity
- Circumcenter algorithms
- Correctness analysis
- Time complexity analysis

B Deployment

- Multi-center functions
- Geometric-center laws
- Peer-to-peer laws
- Laws for disk-covering and sphere-packing

Summary and conclusions

Summary and conclusions

Examined various motion coordination tasks

- o rendezvous: circumcenter algorithms
- connectivity maintenance: flexible constraint sets in convex/nonconvex scenarios
- O deployment: gradient algorithms based on geometric centers

Correctness and (1-d) complexity analysis of geometric-center control and communication laws via

- O Discrete- and continuous-time nondeterministic dynamical systems
- Invariance principles, stability analysis
- Geometric structures and geometric optimization

A sample of other coordination problems



Emerging Motion Coordination Discipline

• network modeling

network, ctrl+comm algorithm, task, complexiy

coordination algorithm

optimal deployment, rendezvous adaptive, scalable, asynchronous, agent arrival/departure

Systematic algorithm design

- meaningful aggregate cost functions
- geometric structures
- stability theory for networked hybrid systems
- Literature full of exciting problems, solutions, and tools: Formation control, consensus, cohesiveness, flocking, collective synchronization, boundary estimation, cooperative control over constant graphs, quantization, asynchronism, delays, distributed estimation, spatial estimation, data fusion, target tracking, networks with minimal

capabilities, target assignment, vehicle dynamics and energy-constrained motion, vehicle routing, dynamic servicing problems, load balancing, robotic implementations,...