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1 Geometric Control of Lagrangian Systems

1.1 Scientific Interests

(i) success in linear control theory is unlikely to be repeated for nonlinear systems. In particular, nonlinear system design. no hope for general theory, mechanical systems as examples of control systems

(ii) control relevance of tools from geometric mechanics

(iii) geometric control past feedback linearization

1.2 Industrial Trends

autonomous vehicles, new concepts in design
reconfigurable, reactive, implementation on-line
sensing & computation cheap, focus on actuators and algorithms

1.3 Motion planning

Example systems

(i) dexterous manipulation via minimalist robots
(ii) real-time trajectory/path planning for autonomous vehicles
(iii) locomotion systems (walking, swimming, diving, etc)

Application contexts

(i) guidance and control of physical systems
(ii) prototyping and verification
(iii) graphical animation and movie generation
(iv) analysis of animal and human locomotion and prosthetic design in biomechanics

exploit differential geometric structure

Research work reflected in these notes


Very incomplete reference on geometric mechanics and geometric control of mechanical systems

Lecture #1: From Linear Algebra to Mechanical Control Systems

Francesco Bullo
Coordinated Science Lab, General Engineering Dept, ECE, AAE
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2 Linear algebra

2.1 Notation
- Linear space $V$, vectors $v \in V$
- dual space $V^*$ is the space of co-vectors $w$:
  $$\langle w, v \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$$
- in $\mathbb{R}^n$, think of $v$ as columns ($V$ is space of column vectors), and $w$ as rows ($V^*$ is space of row vectors)
- construction is possible on any vector space!

2.2 Vector versus indicial notation
- $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is natural pairing between dual spaces
- $v \in V = \{ \text{column vectors} \}$, $w \in V^* = \{ \text{row vectors} \}$:
  $$w \cdot v = \langle w, v \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$$
- other example, $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ and $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ (column):
  $$\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, v \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} v_i$$
  that is, we mean
  $$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \left[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right]$$

2.3 Addendum on linear algebra and multi-variable calculus
- (i) vectors: $v = v^i e_i$
- (ii) covectors, dual elements
- (iii) on $\mathbb{R}^n$, use variables $(q^1, \ldots, q^n)$ – notation useful for “summation convention”
- (iv) given a function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, recall its directional derivative
- (v) the differential $df$ is a covector field with components $\frac{\partial f}{\partial q^1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial q^n}$ so that
  $$df = \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial q^1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial q^n} \right)$$
- (vi) $X$ is a vector field, and we can define $\mathcal{L}_X f = \langle df, X \rangle$
- (vii) planar body example: $V_x$, $V_y$ are example vector fields
- (viii) infinitesimal work in mechanical system is a pairing (not an inner product)
a curve \( \gamma : I \to \mathbb{R}^n \) has a velocity \( \dot{\gamma} : I \to \mathbb{R}^n \), which is a vector field along the curve

(x) a vector field \( X \) is an ODE and an ODE is a vector field

(xi) vector fields are written in terms of the canonical basis \( \{ \frac{\partial}{\partial q^1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial q^n} \} \), and co-vector fields in terms of \( \{ dq^1, \ldots, dq^n \} \)

\[ X(q) = X^i(q) \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} \]

\( \omega = \omega_i(q) dq^i \)

\[ df = \sum_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial q^i} dq^i = \frac{\partial f}{\partial q^i} dq^i \]

(xii) maps between linear space: \( A : V \to V \) has components \( A^j_i \)

\[ v = v^i e_i \mapsto Av = A^j_i v^i e_j \]

(xiii) bilinear maps: \( B : V \times V \to \mathbb{R} \) has components \( B_{ij} \)

\[ (v, w) = (v^i e_i, w^j e_j) \mapsto B(v, w) = B_{ij} v^i w^j \]

(xiv) associate linear map: \( B : V \to V^* \) has components \( B_{ij} \)

\[ v = v^i e_i \mapsto B_{ij} v^i e^j \]

(xv) an inner product \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) is a bilinear map, need a symbol \( G : V \times V \to \mathbb{R} \)

(xvi) since \( G : V \to V^* \) is non-singular, we can invert it, \( G^{-1} : V^* \to V \) is now an inner product on \( V^* \)

(xvii) Lie derivatives do not commute

(a) \( \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^j} f = \frac{\partial}{\partial q^j} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} f \)

(b) however

\[ \mathcal{L}_X \mathcal{L}_Y f \neq \mathcal{L}_Y \mathcal{L}_X f \]

(c) correct formula is:

\[ \mathcal{L}_X \mathcal{L}_Y f - \mathcal{L}_Y \mathcal{L}_X f = \mathcal{L}_{[X,Y]} f \]

where Lie bracket (in indicial notation)

\[ [X,Y]^i = \frac{\partial Y^i}{\partial q^j} X^j - \frac{\partial X^i}{\partial q^j} Y^j \]

in vector notation (where now \( \partial X/\partial q \) is an \( n \times n \) matrix):

\[ [X,Y] = \frac{\partial Y}{\partial q} \cdot X - \frac{\partial X}{\partial q} \cdot Y \]

Properties of Lie brackets:

(a) skew symmetry: \( [X,Y] = -[Y,X] \)

(b) linearity: \( [X,Y + Z] = [X,Y] + [X,Z] \)

(c) derivation: \( [X,fY] = f[X,Y] + (\mathcal{L}_X f)Y \)

(d) Jacoby identity: \( [X,[Y,Z]] + [Z,[X,Y]] + [Y,[Z,X]] = 0 \)

Consider the controlled ODE

\[ \dot{x} = g_1(x) u_1 + g_2(x) u_2 \]

define Lie bracket:

\[ [g_1(x), g_2(x)] = \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial x} g_1 - \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial x} g_2 \]

Properties of Lie brackets:

(a) skew symmetry: \( [X,Y] = -[Y,X] \)

(b) linearity: \( [X,Y + Z] = [X,Y] + [X,Z] \)

(c) derivation: \( [X,fY] = f[X,Y] + (\mathcal{L}_X f)Y \)

(d) Jacoby identity: \( [X,[Y,Z]] + [Z,[X,Y]] + [Y,[Z,X]] = 0 \)
3 A primer in Riemannian geometry

3.1 Notation

(i) assume every object is real analytic

(ii) \( Q \) is a manifold, that is, a locally Euclidean space

(iii) \( q \in Q \) is point on manifold, in coordinates \( q = (q^1, \ldots, q^n) \)

(iv) \( q \mapsto f(q) \in \mathbb{R} \) is scalar function

(v) As on \( \mathbb{R}^n \), vector fields and covector fields attached to each point on \( Q \):

- the differential \( df \) is a covector field with components \( \frac{\partial f}{\partial q^1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial q^n} \) so that

\[
    df = \sum_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial q^i} dq^i
\]

- \( X \) is a vector field with components \( X^1, \ldots, X^n \) so that

\[
    X = \sum_i X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i}
\]

- Lie derivative of a function \( (X, f) \) are both functions of \( q \):

\[
    \mathcal{L}_X f := \sum_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial q^i} X^i = \langle df, X \rangle
\]

(vi) Last equality is the natural pairing between tangent \( TQ \) and cotangent bundle \( T^*Q \)

3.2 Affine Connections

- An affine connection \( \nabla \) on maps two vector fields \( X, Y \) into a third vector field \( \nabla_X Y \), satisfying the following properties:

(i) \( \nabla f X Y = f \nabla_X Y \)

(ii) \( \nabla_X f Y = (\mathcal{L}_X f) Y + f \nabla_X Y \)

- Given the basis \( \{ \frac{\partial}{\partial q^1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial q^n} \} \), \( \nabla \) determines and is uniquely determined by the Christoffel symbols:

\[
    \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial q^j} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} = \Gamma^k_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^k}
\]

- In coordinates

\[
    \nabla_X Y = \left( \mathcal{L}_X Y^k + \Gamma^k_{ij} X^i Y^j \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial q^k}
    = \left( \frac{\partial Y^k}{\partial q^i} X^i + \Gamma^k_{ij} X^i Y^j \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial q^k}
\]
3.3 Covariant derivatives of vector fields along curves

- Given a curve $\gamma : I \to Q$, and its velocity $\gamma' : I \to TQ$ is a curve on $TQ$.
- $\gamma' : I \to TQ$ is an example of a vector field along a curve on $Q$.
- Given a vector field $\eta : I \to TQ$ along $\gamma$, define its covariant derivative along $\gamma$ as
  \[ \nabla_{\gamma'}\eta = \nabla_\gamma Y \]
  where $Y$ is a smooth extension of $\eta$ to $Q$.
- In coordinates:
  \[
  \gamma(t) = (\gamma^1(t), \ldots, \gamma^n(t)) \quad \gamma'(t) = (\dot{\gamma}^1(t), \ldots, \dot{\gamma}^n(t)) \\
  \eta(t) = (\eta^1(t), \ldots, \eta^n(t)) \quad \nabla_{\gamma'}\eta = \ddot{\eta}^i + \Gamma^i_{jk}(\gamma) \dot{\gamma}^j \eta^k
  \]

3.4 Property of covariant derivatives along curves

Recall: An affine connection $\nabla$ on maps two vector fields $X, Y$ into a third vector field $\nabla_X Y$, satisfying the following properties:

(i) $\nabla_{fX} Y = f \nabla_X Y$
(ii) $\nabla_X (fY) = (\mathcal{L}_X f) Y + f \nabla_X Y$

Given a function of time $f$, and a vector field $\eta$ along $\gamma$:

\[ \nabla_{\gamma'} f(t) \eta(t) = \left( \frac{d}{dt} f(t) \right) \eta(t) + f(t) \left( \nabla_{\gamma'} \eta(t) \right) \]

3.5 Geometric acceleration and geodesic curves

- Given a curve $\gamma$, the second time derivative $\ddot{\gamma}^i$ is not a vector.
- Given a curve $\gamma$, define the geometric acceleration of $\gamma$ as the vector field along $\gamma$:
  \[ \nabla_{\gamma'}\gamma' = \ddot{\gamma}^i \]
- In coordinates (with respect to the respective bases):
  \[
  \gamma(t) = (\gamma^1(t), \ldots, \gamma^n(t)) \quad \gamma'(t) = (\dot{\gamma}^1(t), \ldots, \dot{\gamma}^n(t)) \\
  \nabla_{\gamma'}\gamma'(t) = (\ddot{\gamma}^1 + \Gamma^1_{ij} \dot{\gamma}^i \dot{\gamma}^j, \ldots, \ddot{\gamma}^n + \Gamma^n_{ij} \dot{\gamma}^i \dot{\gamma}^j)
  \]
- A curve with zero geometric acceleration is a geodesic.
- Geodesic curves enjoy various properties: constant point-wise energy, homogeneity, existence and uniqueness.

3.6 Collection of vector fields, distributions, and operations between vector fields

(i) $\mathcal{X} = \{X_1, \ldots, X_t\}$ a the collection or family of vfs
(ii) $\mathcal{D} = \text{span}_{C(Q)}\{X_1, \ldots, X_t\}$ is called the distribution, i.e., the point-wise sub-space of $T_q Q$. In other words, $\mathcal{D}_q = \text{span}_R \{X_1(q), \ldots, X_t(q)\}$
(iii) The Lie bracket between $X_i$ and $X_j$ is $[X_i, X_j]$.
(iv) The distribution $\mathcal{D}$ is said to be involutive if it is closed under operation of Lie bracket, i.e., if for all vector fields $X$ and $Y$ taking values in $\mathcal{D}$, the vector field $[X, Y]$ also takes value in $\mathcal{D}$. The involutive closure of the distribution $\mathcal{D}$ is the smallest involutive distribution containing $\mathcal{D}$, and is denoted $\overline{\text{Lie}}\{\mathcal{D}\}$.
(v) The symmetric product between $X_i$ and $X_j$ is the vector field

\[ \langle X_i : X_j \rangle = \nabla_X X_j + \nabla_X X_i \]

One then can define the notion of symmetric closure and geodesic invariance.
### 3.7 Riemannian metric
- Metric is inner product on tangent space
  \[ \langle \cdot , \cdot \rangle : \ T^\ast \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \]
- Inner product is positive definite, symmetric, bilinear form \(G\).
- In coordinates \(G_{ij}\)
  \[ \langle X, Y \rangle = \sum_{ij} G_{ij}(q)X^i(q)Y^j(q) \]
- \(G\) as a matrix (in vector notation): \(\langle X, Y \rangle = X^T [G] Y\).
- **Summary:**
  1. There is a pairing between functions and vector fields (i.e., \(\mathcal{L}_X f\)), and similarly between vector fields and co-vector fields (i.e., \(\langle df, X \rangle\)).
  2. \(G\) is a pairing between two vector fields where in vector notation “a pairing := combine two vectors to obtain a scalar”

NB: in mechanical systems, metric is usually denoted \(M\). In Riemannian geometry \(g\).

### 3.8 Associated linear maps between \(T^\ast \) and \(T\)

(i) \(G : T^\ast \rightarrow T\):
Given a vector field \(X\), \((G X)^T\) is the co-vector field such that
\[
\langle (G X)^T \cdot Y, Z \rangle = X^T \langle G \rangle Y \langle Z \rangle
\]
\[
\langle G X, Y \rangle \langle F, Z \rangle = \langle F, Y \rangle
\]

(ii) \(G^{-1} : T \rightarrow T^\ast\):
Given a co-vector field \(F\), \((G^{-1} F)^T\) is the vector field such that
\[
\langle (G^{-1} F)^T \cdot Y, Z \rangle = (G^{-1} F)^T \langle G \rangle Y \langle Z \rangle = \langle F, Y \rangle
\]

### 3.9 Gradient of a function
- Given a function \(f\), its gradient is the vector field
  \[ \text{grad} f = G^{-1} df \]
or alternatively
  \[ \langle \text{grad} f, X \rangle = \langle df, X \rangle \]
- In indicial notation:
  \( (\text{grad} f)^i = \sum_{j=1}^n (G^{-1})^i_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial q^j} = G^{ij} \frac{\partial f}{\partial q^j} \)

### 3.10 Levi-Civita (or metric) connection

**Theorem 1 (Levi-Civita).** A metric \(\langle \cdot , \cdot \rangle\) induces a unique \(\nabla\) such that

(i) \(\mathcal{L}_X \langle Y, Z \rangle = \langle \nabla_X Y, Z \rangle + \langle Y, \nabla_X Z \rangle\)

(ii) \(\nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X = [X, Y]\)

(i) Its symbols are:
\[
\Gamma^k_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} G^{mk} \left( \frac{\partial G_{mj}}{\partial q^i} + \frac{\partial G_{mi}}{\partial q^j} - \frac{\partial G_{ij}}{\partial q^m} \right)
\]
where \(G^{mk}\) is \(m, k\) component of \(G^{-1}\)

(ii) Proof based on equality:
\[
2\langle Z, \nabla_X Y \rangle = X \langle Y, Z \rangle + Y \langle X, Z \rangle - Z \langle Y, X \rangle
\]
\[
- \langle [X, Z], Y \rangle - \langle [Y, Z], X \rangle - \langle [X, Y], Z \rangle
\]
4 Models of Mechanical Systems

Simple mechanical control system is composed of:

(i) the configuration space \( Q \) (manifold)
(ii) the kinetic energy \( G \) (metric)
(iii) the potential energy \( V \) (function on \( Q \))
(iv) the input forces \( F_1, \ldots, F_m \) (co-vectors)

Total energy (Hamiltonian, sum of kinetic and potential) is:

\[
\mathcal{E}(q, v_q) = \frac{1}{2} \|v_q\|^2 + V(q)
\]

4.1 Planar body example

\( q = (\theta, x, y) \)

\[
V(q) = mgy \quad [G] = \begin{bmatrix} J & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m \end{bmatrix}
\]

We shall discuss \( F^i \) in a few slides

4.2 Planar two links manipulator example

\[
\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_1 + \mathcal{E}_2
\]

\[
K_1(\theta_1, x_1, y_1) = \frac{1}{2} I_1 \dot{\theta}_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} m (x_1^2 + y_1^2)
\]

\[
V_1(\theta_1, x_1, y_1) = m_1 g y_1
\]

Therefore easy to write \( \mathcal{E} \) as function of all variables

4.3 Kinematics

Only necessary variables to describe system are configuration variables, e.g. \( q = (\theta_1, \theta_2) \)

Write \((\theta_i, x_i, y_i)\) in terms of \( q \) by means of kinematic analysis.

\[
\mathcal{E}(q, \dot{q}) := \mathcal{E}(\theta_i, x_i, y_i, \dot{\theta}_i, \dot{x}_i, \dot{y}_i) \quad I. \quad (\theta_i, x_i, y_i) \rightarrow (\theta_i, x_i, y_i)(q)
\]

After simplification:

\[
[G] = \begin{bmatrix}
I_1 + (I_1^2 (m_1 + 4m_2))/4 & (l_1 l_2 m_2 \cos[\theta_1 - \theta_2])/2 \\
(l_1 l_2 m_2 \cos[\theta_1 - \theta_2])/2 & I_2 + (I_2^2 m_2)/4
\end{bmatrix}
\]

General study of single and multi-body kinematics.
4.4 Forces as co-vectors

Why are forces co-vectors? Assume curve $\gamma : I \rightarrow Q$ is solution to controlled equations, then

$$\text{Infinitesimal Work} = \langle F, \gamma' \rangle$$

where $\gamma' \in T_\gamma Q$ and hence $F \in T^*\gamma Q$.

- forces as generalized forces, i.e.,
  - both pure forces and pure torques are ok

4.5 Generalized force = pure force + pure torque

If force is pure torque on angle $\alpha$, then $F = d\alpha$. If force is pure force on distance $x$, then $F = dx$. Write a generalized force as linear combination of pure force and pure torque.

$$F^1 = \cos \theta dx + \sin \theta dy = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cos \theta & \sin \theta \end{bmatrix}$$

$$F^2 = -h d\theta - \sin \theta dx + \cos \theta dy = \begin{bmatrix} -h & -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix}$$

4.6 Lagrange-D’Alembert principle

The solution $\gamma : I \rightarrow Q$ to the simple mechanical control system satisfies the variational principle

$$\delta \int_I \left( \frac{1}{2} ||\gamma'||^2 - V(\gamma) \right) dt + \int_I \langle F(\gamma, t), \delta q \rangle = 0$$

where the variation $\delta q$ is an arbitrary vector field along $\gamma$.

- Systems subject to no force follow geodesic flow:
  - $\delta \int ||\gamma'||^2 dt = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \nabla_{\gamma'} \gamma' = 0$

- Systems subject to force follow forced geodesic flow:
  - $\nabla_{\gamma'} \gamma' = G^{-1} F$
5 Simple Mechanical Control Systems (SMCS)

A simple mechanical control system:
(i) An $n$-dimensional configuration manifold $Q$, coordinates $(q^1,\ldots,q^n)$
(ii) An inertia tensor $G$ describing the kinetic energy
   $G$ defines an inner product $\langle \cdot , \cdot \rangle$ between vector fields on $Q$
(iii) the potential energy $V$ (function on $Q$)
(iv) $m$ one-forms $F^1,\ldots,F^m$, describing $m$ external control forces

5.1 Conservative and dissipative forces
(i) potential energy $V$ due to gravity gives rise to a force $F = -dV$ and a vector field $-\operatorname{grad} V$. More generally, we shall assume an arbitrary vector field $Y_0(q)$ in the equations of motion
(ii) damping or dissipation force is of the form $F = R(v_q)$. $R$ stands for Rayleigh dissipation function (i.e., a linear dissipation function.
   The tensor $R$: $\mathbb{T}Q \to \mathbb{T}Q$ is dissipative if
   $\langle R(v_q) , v_q \rangle \leq 0$
   Strict inequality for strictly dissipative forces
In summary, a simple mechanical control system with dissipation and potential energy satisfied

\[ \mathcal{G}\nabla \gamma \gamma' = Y_0(\gamma) + R(\gamma') + Y(\gamma)u(t) \]

Given this data, we derive
(i) $\mathcal{G}\nabla$ is the Levi-Civita connection associated to $G$
(ii) we define the input vector fields $Y_a = G^{-1}F^a$, for $a \in \{1,\ldots,m\}$
(iii) Coordinate-free formulation of the equations of motion:
   \[ \mathcal{G}\nabla \gamma \gamma' = \sum_{a=1}^m Y_a(\gamma)u_a \]
   the input functions $u_a$ are assumed Lebesgue measurable
(iv) In coordinates $(q^1,\ldots,q^n)$, Christoffel symbols:
   \[ \Gamma^k_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}G^{ik} \left( \frac{\partial G_{lj}}{\partial q^i} + \frac{\partial G_{li}}{\partial q^j} - \frac{\partial G_{lj}}{\partial q^i} \right) \]
(v) Equations of motion in coordinates for trajectory $\gamma: I \to Q$:
   \[ \ddot{\gamma}^k + \Gamma^k_{ij} \dot{\gamma}^i \dot{\gamma}^j = \sum_{a=1}^m G^{kj}(F^a)_j u_a \]

6 Satellites and vehicles - systems on groups
(i) configuration is rotation matrix $R$
   \[ R \in SO(3) = \{ R \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3} | R^T R = I, \det R = +1 \} \]
(ii) define $\dot{\gamma}$ operator as: $\omega \times y = \dot{\omega}y$
(iii) kinematic equation $\dot{R} = R\dot{\omega}$
   follows from differentiating identity: $R^T R = I_3$
   $\omega$ body velocity in body-frame
(iv) Kinetic energy: $K = \frac{1}{2} \omega^T \|\omega\|$
   remarkable because $R$ is not present!
(v) no potential, and torques $\tau$ expressed body frame
(vi) Euler Poincaré equations of motion:
   \[ \dot{R} = R\dot{\omega} \]
   \[ \dot{\omega} = \mathbb{J}^{-1}(\mathbb{J} \omega \times \omega) + \mathbb{J}^{-1} \tau \]
(vii) if, for example, $\mathbb{J} = \text{diag}\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{\omega}_1 &= ((J_2 - J_3)/J_1)\omega_2\omega_3 + \tau_1/J_1 \\
\dot{\omega}_2 &= ((J_3 - J_1)/J_2)\omega_1\omega_3 + \tau_2/J_2 \\
\dot{\omega}_3 &= ((J_1 - J_2)/J_3)\omega_1\omega_2 + \tau_3/J_3
\end{align*}
$$

these are also called the Euler equations

(viii) $(\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)$ are pseudo-velocities, not the time derivative of any quantity on $SO(3)$

6.1 Mechanical control systems on matrix groups

(i) $g \in G$ is configuration on $n$-dimensional matrix group

local coordinates via $x = \log(g)$

(ii) kinetic energy $KE = \frac{1}{2}v^T\mathbb{I}v$ with $\mathbb{I} > 0$

$v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ velocity in body frame

(iii) body-fixed forces $f^1, \ldots, f^m \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$.

Example: \[ \log(R) = \frac{\phi}{2\sin \sigma}(R - R^T), \quad 2\cos \phi = \text{tr}(R) - 1 \]

6.2 Equations of motion, I

Kinematic eqns:

$$\dot{g} = g\hat{v}$$

where $v \rightarrow \hat{v}$ is isomorphism $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.

Lie bracket is matrix commutator:

$$[v, w] = (\hat{v}\hat{w} - \hat{w}\hat{v})$$

Example: \[ \hat{R} = R\hat{\omega} \]

$$[\omega, y] = \omega \times y$$

6.3 Equations of motion, II

Euler-Poincaré eqns:

$$\dot{\gamma}^i + \Gamma^i_{ab}(\gamma)\dot{\gamma}^a\dot{\gamma}^b = (G^{-1}F^k)^iu_k$$

where the $\Gamma^i_{jk}$ are constants determined by $G$ and $\mathbb{I}$.

Symmetric product: $\langle v : w \rangle^i = -\Gamma^i_{ab}(v^aw^b + v^b+w^a)$

Example:

$$\hat{\Omega} + \mathbb{J}^{-1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{J}) = 0$$

$$(\Omega : \Xi) = \mathbb{J}^{-1}(\Omega \times J\Xi \ + \ \Xi \times J\Omega)$$
6.4 Satellite with Thrusters
- configuration is rotation matrix \( R \)
- kinematic equation:
  \[ \dot{R} = R\hat{\Omega} \]
where
\[ \hat{\Omega} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\Omega_3 & \Omega_2 \\ \Omega_3 & 0 & -\Omega_1 \\ -\Omega_2 & \Omega_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \]
- kinetic energy:
  \[ KE = \frac{1}{2} \hat{\Omega}^T \Omega \]
- two torques: \( f_1 = e_1, \quad f_2 = e_2 \)
- Equations of Motion:
  \[ \dot{R} = R\hat{\Omega} \]
  \[ \dot{\Omega} = \Omega \times \Omega + e_1u_1(t) + e_2u_2(t). \]

6.5 Hovercraft
(i) Configuration: 
\[ P = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta & x \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \]
(ii) \( KE = \frac{1}{2}(J\omega^2 + mv_x^2 + mv_y^2) \)
(iii) \( f_1 = e_2, \quad f_2 = -he_1 + e_3 \)

Equations of Motion:
\[ \dot{P} = P \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\omega & v_x \\ \omega & 0 & v_y \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \]
\[ \begin{cases} J\dot{\omega} = -hu_2 \\ m\dot{v}_x = mv_y \omega + u_1 \\ m\dot{v}_y = -m\omega v_x + u_2 \end{cases} \]

6.6 Planar underwater vehicle
- Same kinematic description as hovercraft. However, effects of fluid.

6.7 Planar underwater vehicle, cont’d
(i) to model ideal fluid, include added masses into kinetic energy:
\[ K = \frac{1}{2}(m_x v_x^2 + m_y v_y^2) + \frac{1}{2}J\omega^2 \]
Notice \( \theta, x, y \) are not present in energy
(ii) generalized forces in body coordinates \( F = [f_\theta \quad f_x \quad f_y] \)
(iii) Euler Poincarè equation for planar underwater vehicle:
\[ \dot{P} = P \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\omega & v_x \\ \omega & 0 & v_y \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \]
\[ \begin{cases} J\dot{\omega} = (m_x - m_y)v_x v_y + f_\theta \\ m_x\dot{v}_x = m_y v_y \omega + f_x \\ m_y\dot{v}_y = -m_x v_x \omega + f_y \end{cases} \]
6.8 Underwater Vehicle in Ideal Fluid

3D rigid body with three forces:

(i) \((R, p) \in SE(3), \quad (\Omega, V) \in \mathbb{R}^6\)

(ii) \(KE = \frac{1}{2} \Omega^T \mathbb{J} \Omega + \frac{1}{2} V^T M V, \quad M = \text{diag}\{m_1, m_2, m_3\}, \quad \mathbb{J} = \text{diag}\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}\)

(iii) \(f_1 = e_4, \quad f_2 = -he_3 + e_5, \quad f_3 = he_2 + e_6\)

Equations of Motion:

\[
\dot{R} = R \dot{\Omega}, \quad \dot{\mathbb{J}} = \mathbb{J} \Omega \times \Omega + MV \times V
\]

\[
\dot{p} = RV, \quad \dot{M}V = MV \times \Omega.
\]

6.9 Proof of Euler Poincarè equation for satellite, page 1/3

Let us consider geodesic equation without forces:

\[\mathcal{G} \nabla \gamma' \gamma' = 0\]

The geodesic equation is written on a generic manifold. To write it with respect to coordinates \((\frac{\partial}{\partial q^i}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial q^n})\) on \(TQ\), follow the steps:

\[
\gamma' = \gamma'_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i}
\]

\[
\mathcal{G} \nabla_{\gamma'} \left( \gamma'_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} \right) = \gamma'_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + \gamma'_{k} \mathcal{G} \nabla_{\gamma'} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^k} = \gamma'_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + \gamma'_{k} \gamma'_{j} \left( \mathcal{G} \nabla_{\gamma'} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} \right)
\]

where the last two steps exploit the properties of affine connections.

At this point, the Christoffel symbols are computed by using:

\[
2 \langle Z, \mathcal{G} \nabla X Y \rangle = X \langle Y, Z \rangle + Y \langle X, Z \rangle - Z \langle Y, X \rangle
\]

\[
- \langle [X, Z], Y \rangle - \langle [Y, Z], X \rangle - \langle [X, Y], Z \rangle
\]

where \(X, Y, Z\) take values in \(\{\frac{\partial}{\partial q^i}\}\), and hence all Lie brackets \([\frac{\partial}{\partial q^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial q^j}]\) vanish.

6.10 Proof of Euler Poincarè equation for satellite, page 2/3

We here perform the same procedure, but with respect a basis of invariant vector fields (i.e., all vector fields are expressed in the body-fixed frame)

Think of \(\gamma\) as a curve on group of matrices, and write

\[
\gamma'(t) = \omega_i(t)E_i(\gamma(t)),
\]

\[
E_i(R) = R \hat{e}_i,
\]

where \(R \in SO(3)\) and \(e_1 = [1, 0, 0]\) and accordingly \(e_2\) and \(e_3\). We can do this because of

\[
T_R(SO(3)) = \text{span}\{R \hat{e}_1, R \hat{e}_2, R \hat{e}_3\}
\]

According to the same steps as above, the geodesic equation is:

\[
0 = \dot{\omega}_i E_i(\gamma) + \omega_k \omega_j \left( \mathcal{G} \nabla_{E_j(\gamma)} E_k(\gamma) \right)
\]

6.11 Proof of Euler Poincarè equation for satellite, page 3/3

Assume \(X, Y, Z\) take values in the basis \(\{E_i\}\), and prove that

\[
\mathcal{G} \nabla_{R \hat{e}_j} R \hat{e}_k = R \left( e_j \times e_k + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{J}^{-1}(e_j \times e_k) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{J}^{-1}(e_k \times e_j) \right)
\]

This is a consequence of equation (1) and of the fact that the Lie brackets \([E_i(R), E_j(R)] = R(e_i \times e_j)\) and that the metric is invariant.

Therefore, the geodesic equation becomes:

\[
0 = \gamma \left( \dot{\omega}_i e_i + \omega_k \omega_j \left( e_j \times e_k + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{J}^{-1}(e_j \times e_k) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{J}^{-1}(e_k \times e_j) \right) \right)
\]

and, using the fact that \(\gamma\) is an invertible matrix and a few simplification, we get the right equation:

\[
0 = \dot{\omega} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{J}^{-1}(\omega \times \mathbb{J}\omega)
\]
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7 Essential review

7.1 Coordinate-free modelling: I

- manifold $Q$, metric $G$
- vector fields are written in terms of the canonical basis $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial q^1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial q^n}\}$, and co-vector fields in terms of $\{dq^1, \ldots, dq^n\}$
- given a function $\varphi$:
  \[ d\varphi = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial q^i} dq^i \]
  \[ \text{grad } \varphi = \left( G^{ij} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial q^j} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} \]
  \[ \dot{q} = - \text{grad } \varphi(q) \quad \text{... (negative) gradient flow} \]
- metric gives rise to connection with certain properties

7.2 Coordinate-free modelling: II

(i) given functions $\{s^i\}$, and curve $\gamma: I \to \mathbb{R}$
  \[ (\nabla_{\gamma'} \gamma')^i = \ddot{\gamma}^i + \Gamma^i_{jk} \dot{\gamma}^j \dot{\gamma}^k = 0 \quad \text{... geodesic flow} \]

(ii) Given two vector fields $X, Y$, the covariant derivative of $Y$ with respect to $X$ is the third vector field $\nabla_X Y$ defined via
  \[ (\nabla_X Y)^i = \frac{\partial Y^i}{\partial q^j} X^j + \Gamma^i_{jk} X^j Y^k. \]

(iii) symmetric product
  \[ \langle Y_a : Y_b \rangle = \nabla_{Y_a} Y_b + \nabla_{Y_b} Y_a \]
  \[ \langle Y_a : Y_b \rangle^i = \frac{\partial Y_a^i}{\partial q^j} Y_b^j + \frac{\partial Y_b^i}{\partial q^j} Y_a^j + \Gamma^i_{jk} (Y_a^j Y_b^k + Y_a^k Y_b^j) \]
7.3 Coordinate-free modelling: III

affine connection control system

\[ \nabla_{\gamma'} \gamma' = Y_0(\gamma') + R(\gamma') + \sum_{a=1}^{m} Y_a(\gamma) u_a(t) \]

Ex #1: robotic manipulators with kinetic energy and forces at joints
simple systems with conservative forces

Ex #2: aerospace and underwater vehicles
invariant systems on Lie groups

Ex #3: systems subject to nonholonomic constraints
locomotion devices with drift, e.g., bicycle, snake-like robots

8 Introduction to systems subject to constraints

Constraints can be of two types:

(i) constraints on \( q \) are called integrable
(ii) constraints on \( v_q \) are sometimes called non-integrable

from the greek roots:

integrable = holonomic
nonintegrable = nonholonomic

8.1 Integrable constraints

- constraint on the configuration, such as clamping. It is given by
  \[ \varphi(q) = 0 \]
  where \( \varphi : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \)
- easy case, analyse on smaller space

- Sometimes, an integrable constraints appears as:
  \[ \langle w , \gamma' \rangle = 0, \]
where, if \( w = d\varphi \), one writes
  \[ \langle d\varphi , \gamma' \rangle = \frac{d}{dt} \varphi(\gamma(t)) \rightarrow \varphi(\gamma(t)) = \text{constant} \]

- Problem: given an arbitrary co-vector \( w \), when is it \( w = d\varphi \) ?

Locally, construct annihilator distribution \( \mathcal{D} \). If \( \mathcal{D} \) is involutive, then \( w \) is a holonomic constraint.
8.2 Nonintegrable constraints I: kinematic systems

- nonintegrable constraints are constraints on velocity, that cannot be written as constraints on configurations
- classic example is rolling without sliding
- If system has full control over all feasible velocities, then kinematic analysis suffices

**Test**: set all control inputs to zero, does the mechanical systems still move?

\[ \text{driftless systems} \]

Examples of kinematic systems

- Car with trailer can be parked anywhere.

8.3 Nonintegrable constraint II: dynamic systems

- general case is a dynamic case, i.e., system can move with input at zero
- basic example: bicycle

Examples of dynamical systems

9 Simple Mechanical Control Systems with constraints

Nonholonomic constraint described by **constraint one-form** \( \omega \)

\[ \langle \omega', \gamma' \rangle = 0 \]

A simple mechanical control system subject to **constraints**

(i) A simple mechanical control system \((Q, G, V = 0, F = \{ F^1, \ldots, F^m \})\)

(ii) A collection of constraint one-forms \( \{ \omega_1, \ldots, \omega_p \} \).

The annihilator of \( \text{span} \{ \omega_1, \ldots, \omega_p \} \) is the **constraint distribution** \( \mathcal{D} \)

i.e., the distribution of feasible velocities

Orthogonal projections:

\[ P : TQ \to \mathcal{D} \subset TQ \quad \text{and} \quad P^\perp : TQ \to \mathcal{D}^\perp \subset TQ \]
9.1 Equations of motion

The solution to the mechanical control system subject to the constraint distribution $\mathcal{D}$ is the curve $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ solution to

$$\mathcal{G} \nabla \gamma'(t) \gamma'(t) = \lambda(t) + \sum_{a=1}^{m} (\mathcal{G}^{-1} F^a) u_a$$

$$P^\perp(\gamma') = 0$$

where $t \mapsto \lambda(t) \in \mathcal{D}^\perp$ is the Lagrange multiplier, and $\gamma'(0) \in \mathcal{D}$.

**Theorem:** Constrained equations of motion

(Synge 1928)

$$\mathcal{D} \nabla \gamma' = \sum_{a=1}^{m} (P \mathcal{G}^{-1} F^a) u_a$$

with respect to the constrained affine connection

(Lewis 2000)

$$\mathcal{D} \nabla X Y = \mathcal{G} \nabla X Y + (\mathcal{G} \nabla X P^\perp)(Y)$$

9.2 Expressions in coordinates

(i) design $\mathcal{X} = \{X_1, \ldots, X_{n-p}\}$ an orthogonal basis for feasible velocities $\mathcal{Q}$

(ii) compute $(\mathcal{X} \Gamma)^k_{ij} = \frac{1}{\|X_k\|^2} \langle \mathcal{G} \nabla X_i X_j, X_k \rangle$

(iii) compute $Y_a^k = \frac{1}{\|X_k\|^2} \langle F^a, X_k \rangle$

Then the constrained equations of motion are

$$\gamma'(t) = v^i(t) X_i(\gamma(t))$$

$$\dot{v}^k(t) + (\mathcal{X} \Gamma)^k_{ij} v^i(t) v^j(t) = \sum_{a=1}^{m} Y_a^k(\gamma) u_a(t)$$

kinematic + dynamic equations

9.3 Comments

Constrained equations of motion

$$\gamma' = v^i X_i(\gamma)$$

$$\dot{v}^k + (\mathcal{X} \Gamma)^k_{ij} v^i v^j = \sum_{a=1}^{m} Y_a^k u_a$$

(i) $v^i$ components of $\gamma'$ are pseudo-velocity

(ii) $(\mathcal{X} \Gamma)^k_{ij}$ are generalized Christoffel symbols for $\mathcal{D} \nabla$ with respect to $\{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$

$$\mathcal{D} \nabla X_i X_j = (\mathcal{X} \Gamma)^k_{ij} X_k$$

however, no need to compute the projection $P$, nor its covariant derivative $\mathcal{G} \nabla P^\perp$

(iii) $Y_a^k$ is the projection of the control vector fields onto $X_k$. If conservative forces, i.e., $F^a = d\varphi_a$, then $Y_a^k = \frac{1}{\|X_k\|^2} \mathcal{L}_{X_k} \varphi_a$

**Invariance under group action** If a system is invariant under a group action and the basis for $\mathcal{D}$ consists of invariant vectors, the generalized Christoffel symbols $(\mathcal{X} \Gamma)^k_{ij}$ and the coefficients of the control vector fields $Y_a^k$ are invariant.

**Key examples easily handled** see next pages.

**Missing work** Still to work out: bicycle, plate-and-ball systems, omni-directional, redundant, variable-geometry vehicles
10 The snakeboard example

Configuration manifold: $SE(2) \times S^2$

Coordinates: $q = (x, y, \theta, \psi, \phi)$

Input forces: $d\psi, d\phi$

Inertia tensor:

$$[G] = \begin{pmatrix} m & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ell^2 m & J_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & J_r & J_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & J_w \end{pmatrix}$$

Constraints:

$$\dot{x}_{\text{front}} \sin(\theta - \phi) - \dot{y}_{\text{front}} \cos(\theta - \phi) = 0$$

$$\dot{x}_{\text{back}} \sin(\theta + \psi) - \dot{y}_{\text{back}} \cos(\theta + \psi) = 0$$

Constraint forms:

$$\omega_1 = \sin(\phi - \theta)dx + \cos(\phi - \theta)dy + \ell \cos \phi d\theta$$

$$\omega_2 = -\sin(\phi + \theta)dx + \cos(\phi + \theta)dy - \ell \cos \phi d\theta$$

10.1 Application of the method

Step (i): Choice of basis for $\mathcal{D}$:

$$X_1 = \ell \cos \phi \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \ell \cos \phi \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \sin \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta},$$

$$X_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi}, \quad X_3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}.$$  

Using the Gramm-Schmitt procedure we can construct the orthogonal basis:

$$X_2' = \frac{X_2}{\sqrt{\ell^2 \cos^2 \phi + \ell^2 \sin^2 \phi + 1}}, \quad X_3' = \frac{X_3}{\sqrt{\ell^2 \cos^2 \phi + \ell^2 \sin^2 \phi + 1}}.$$

Step (ii): compute generalized Christoffel symbols

$$\Gamma^1_{32} = \frac{J_r}{m\ell^2} \cos \phi, \quad \Gamma^2_{31} = -\frac{m\ell^2 \cos \phi}{m\ell^2 + J_r \sin^2 \phi}, \quad \Gamma^2_{32} = -\frac{J_r \cos \phi \sin \phi}{m\ell^2 + J_r \sin^2 \phi}.$$

Step (iii): input coefficients:

$$\mathcal{L}X_2 \psi = 1, \quad \mathcal{L}X_3 \phi = 1$$

10.2 Kinematic and dynamic equations

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \\ \dot{\theta} \\ \dot{\psi} \\ \dot{\phi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \ell \cos \phi \cos \theta \\ \ell \cos \phi \sin \theta \\ -\sin \phi \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} v^1 + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{J_r}{m\ell^2} \cos \phi \sin \phi \cos \theta \\ \frac{J_r}{m\ell^2} \cos \phi \sin \phi \sin \theta \\ -\frac{J_r}{m\ell^2} (\sin \phi)^2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} v^2 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} v^3$$

$$\dot{v}^1 + \frac{J_r}{m\ell^2} (\cos \phi) v^2 v^3 = 0$$

$$\dot{v}^2 - \frac{m\ell^2 \cos \phi}{m\ell^2 + J_r (\sin \phi)^2} v^1 v^3 - \frac{J_r \cos \phi \sin \phi}{m\ell^2 + J_r (\sin \phi)^2} v^2 v^3 = \frac{m\ell^2}{m\ell^2 J_r + J_r^2 (\sin \phi)^2} u_\psi$$

$$v^3 = \frac{1}{J_w} u_\phi.$$
10.3 Kinematic and dynamic equations

The kinematic equations are
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\dot{x} \\
\dot{y} \\
\dot{\theta}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\ell \cos \phi \cos \theta \\
\ell \cos \phi \sin \theta \\
-\sin \phi
\end{bmatrix} v + \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{J_r}{m} \cos \phi \sin \phi \cos \theta \\
\frac{J_r}{m} \cos \phi \sin \phi \sin \theta \\
-\frac{J_m}{m} (\sin \phi)^2
\end{bmatrix} \psi
\]

And the dynamic equations are
\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{v} + \frac{J_r}{m \ell^2} (\cos \phi) \dot{\psi} &= 0 \\
\ddot{\psi} - \frac{m \ell^2 \cos \phi}{m \ell^2 + J_r (\sin \phi)^2} v \dot{\psi} &= \frac{J_r \cos \phi \sin \phi}{m \ell^2 + J_r (\sin \phi)^2} \dot{\psi} \\
\ddot{\phi} &= \frac{1}{J_w} u_\phi.
\end{align*}
\]

10.4 Software implementation

Mathematica implementation; FullSimplify commands erased for readability

(* CONNECTIONS AND OTHER OPERATIONS *)

\begin{align*}
\text{LieDer} & [X \_ , h \_ , x \_] := \text{Sum}[D[h, x[[i]]] X[[i]], \{i, \text{Length}[x]\}]; \\
\text{LieBracket} & [X \_ , Y \_ , x \_] := \text{Module}[\{i, j, N = \text{Length}[x]\}, \\
& \quad \text{Table}[\text{Sum}[D[Y[[i]], x[[j]]] X[[j]] - D[X[[i]], x[[j]]] Y[[j]]], \{j, N\}, \{i, N\}]]; \\
\text{LeviCivita} & [\text{metric}, x \_] := \text{Module}[\{\text{Minv} = \text{Inverse}[M], i, j, h, \}
& \quad N = \text{Length}[x], \text{Table}[\text{Minv}[[h, k]] (D[M[[h, j]], x[[i]]] \\
& \quad + D[M[[i, h]], x[[j]]] - D[M[[i, j]], x[[h]]])/2, \{h, N\}, \{j, N\}, \{i, N\}]]; \\
\text{CovariantDer} & [X \_ , Y \_ , N\_ , x \_] := \text{Module}[\{i, j, k, N = \text{Length}[x]\}, \\
& \quad \text{Table}[\text{Sum}[D[Y[[i]], x[[j]]] X[[j]] + \text{Sum}[N\_ [[i, j, k]] X[[j]] Y[[k]], \{k, N\}], \{j, N\}, \{i, N\}];
\end{align*}

(* SNAKEBOARD EXAMPLE *)

\[
q = \{x, y, th, psi, phi\}; \\
M = \{m, 0, 0, 0, 0\}, \{0, m, 0, 0, 0\}, \{0, 0, m \ell^2, J_r, 0\}, \{0, 0, J_r, Jr, 0\}, \{0, 0, 0, 0, Jw\}; \\
nabla = \text{LeviCivita}[M, q];
\]

(* FEASIBLE VELOCITIES *)

\[
Vx = \{\text{Cos}[th], \text{Sin}[th], 0, 0, 0\}; Vth = \{0, 0, 1, 0, 0\}; \\
X1 = \text{ell Cos}[phi] Vx - \text{Sin}[phi] Vth; \\
X2p = \{0, 0, 0, 1, 0\}; X3 = \{0, 0, 0, 0, 1\};
\]

(* ORTHOGONALIZE VECTORS VIA GRAMM-SCHMITT *)

\[
X1X1 = X1.M.X1; X2pX2p = X2p.M.X2p; X3X3 = X3.M.X3; \\
X1X3 = X1.M.X3; X1X2p = X1.M.X2p; X2pX3 = X2p.M.X3; \\
X2 = X2p-X1(X1X2p/X1X1); X2X2 = X2.M.X2;
\]

(* CHRISTOFFEL SYMBOLS *)

\[
X = \{X1, X2, X3\}; \text{norms} = \{X1X1, X2X2, X3X3\}; \\
T\_\text{nabla} = \text{Table}[	ext{CovariantDer} [X[[i]], X[[j]], \text{nabla}, q].M.X[[k]]/\text{norms}[[k]], \{k, 1, 3\}, \{i, 1, 3\}, \{j, 1, 3\}];
\]

(* INPUTS *)

\[
F = \text{Table}[	ext{LieDer} [X[[k]], \text{psi}, q]/\text{norms}[[k]] u1 + \text{LieDer} [X[[k]], \text{phi}, q]/\text{norms}[[k]] u2, \{k, 3\}];
\]

(* EQUATIONS OF MOTION *)

\[
v = \{\text{vel}[[t]], \text{psi}'[t], \text{phi}'[t]\}; \quad \text{Eq}\_\text{Motion} = \text{Table}[	ext{D}[v[[k]], t] + \text{Sum}[\text{Table}[[k, i, j]] v[[i]] v[[j]], \{i, 3\}, \{j, 3\}] = F[[k]], \{k, 3\};
\]

(* CONTROLLABILITY ANALYSIS *)

\[
X13 = \text{LieBracket} [X1, X3, q]; X113 = \text{LieBracket} [X1, X13, q]; \\
\text{Det}[\text{AppendColumns}[[X1, X2], [X3, X13, X113]]];
\]


11 The roller racer example

Configuration manifold: $SE(2) \times S$

Coordinates: $q = (x, y, \theta, \psi)$

Input force: $d\psi$

Inertia tensor:

$$[G] = \begin{pmatrix} m & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_1 + I_2 & I_2 \\ 0 & 0 & I_2 & I_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

Constraint one-forms:

$$\omega_1 = \sin \theta dx - \cos \theta dy$$
$$\omega_2 = \sin(\theta + \psi) dx - \cos(\theta + \psi) dy$$
$$- (\ell_2 + \ell_1 \cos \psi) d\theta - \ell_2 d\psi.$$

11.1 Application of the method

Step (i): Choice of basis for $\mathcal{D}$:

$$X_1 = \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \left( \frac{\sin \psi}{\ell_2 + \ell_1 \cos \psi} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$$

$$X'_2 = - \left( \frac{\ell_2}{\ell_2 + \ell_1 \cos \psi} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi}$$

Using the Gramm-Schmitt procedure we can construct the orthogonal basis:

$$X_2 = \frac{(\ell_2 I_1 - \ell_1 I_2 \cos \psi) \sin \psi}{f_1(\psi)} V_x - \frac{m \ell_2 (\ell_2 + \ell_1 \cos \psi) + I_2 \sin^2 \psi}{f_1(\psi)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi}.$$ 

where

$$V_x = \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

$$f_1(\psi) = m(\ell_2 + \ell_1 \cos \psi)^2 + (I_1 + I_2) \sin^2 \psi$$

$$f_2(\psi) = m \ell_2^2 I_1 + \ell_1^2 I_2 m \cos(\psi)^2 + I_1 I_2 \sin^2 \psi.$$ 

Step (ii): compute generalized Christoffel symbols

$$^{(X\Gamma)}_{21}^{1} = \left( \frac{\ell_1 + \ell_2 \cos \psi}{\ell_2 + \ell_1 \cos \psi} \right) \frac{(I_1 + I_2) \sin \psi}{f_1(\psi)}$$

$$^{(X\Gamma)}_{22}^{1} = \frac{m(\ell_1 + \ell_2 \cos \psi)(\ell_2 + \ell_1 \cos \psi)(\ell_1 I_2 \cos \psi - \ell_2 I_1)}{f_1(\psi) f_2(\psi)^2}$$

$$^{(X\Gamma)}_{21}^{2} = \left( \frac{\ell_1 + \ell_2 \cos \psi}{\ell_2 + \ell_1 \cos \psi} \right) \frac{m(\ell_1 I_2 \cos \psi - \ell_2 I_1)}{f_2(\psi)}$$

$$^{(X\Gamma)}_{22}^{2} = \frac{-m(\ell_1 I_2 \cos \psi - \ell_2 I_1)(\sin \psi) f_3(\psi)}{f_1(\psi) f_2(\psi)}$$

where $f_3(\psi) = (\ell_1 I_2 - \ell_2 I_1 \cos \psi) + m \ell_1 \ell_2 (\ell_2 + \ell_1 \cos \psi)$.

Step (iii): input coefficients:

$$\mathcal{L}_{X_1} \psi = 0, \quad \frac{1}{\|X_2\|^2} \mathcal{L}_{X_2} \psi = \frac{f_1(\psi)}{f_2(\psi)}.$$
11.2 Kinematic and dynamic equations

the kinematic equations are

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\dot{x} \\
\dot{y} \\
\dot{\theta}
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
\cos \theta & 0 & 0 \\
\sin \theta & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\ell_2 + \ell_1 \cos \psi}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
v \\
n \sin \psi \\
m^2_2 \ell_2 + m^2_1 \ell_1 \cos \psi + m^2_1 \ell_1 \sin \psi
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\dot{\psi}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

and the dynamic equations are

\[
\dot{v} + (\dot{\lambda}^1)_{21}(\psi) v = (\dot{\lambda}^1)_{22}(\psi) \dot{\psi}^2 = 0
\]

\[
\ddot{v} + (\dot{\lambda}^2)_{21}(\psi) v + (\dot{\lambda}^2)_{22}(\psi) \dot{\psi}^2 = \frac{f_1(\psi)}{f_2(\psi)} u_0.
\]

Define:

\[
\mathcal{D}_\psi X Y = \mathcal{G}_\psi X Y + \left( \mathcal{G}_\psi X P^\perp \right) (Y)
\]

Summarizing:

\[
\mathcal{G}_\psi \gamma \gamma' + \left( \mathcal{G}_\psi \gamma P^\perp \right) (\gamma') = P(G^{-1} F),
\]

where \( \mathcal{G}_\psi \) is the constrained connection.

The Christoffel symbols of the constrained connection with respect to the basis \( \{ \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \} \) are

\[
(\mathcal{D}_\psi)_{ij}^k = \Gamma_{ij}^k + \frac{P_{kj}}{\partial q^l} + \Gamma_{im}^k P_{mj} - \Gamma_{ij}^m P_{km}
\]

12 Proofs

12.1 Constrained affine connection

Consider

\[
\mathcal{G}_\psi \gamma = \lambda(t) + (G^{-1} F)
\]

and

\[
\mathcal{G}_\psi \gamma' = 0.
\]

Project equation (2) onto \( \mathcal{D}_\psi \), and covariantly differentiate equation (3):

\[
\mathcal{G}_\psi \gamma' P^\perp (\gamma') = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{G}_\psi \gamma' P^\perp = - \mathcal{G}_\psi \gamma P^\perp (\gamma').
\]

Hence:

\[
\lambda(t) = - \mathcal{G}_\psi \gamma P^\perp (\gamma') - P^\perp (G^{-1} F)
\]

and

\[
\mathcal{G}_\psi \gamma' + \left( \mathcal{G}_\psi \gamma P^\perp \right) (\gamma') = P(G^{-1} F),
\]

12.2 Constrained equations in coordinates

Definition 1 \( \mathcal{G}_\psi X P^\perp \) (Y) = \( \mathcal{G}_\psi X (P^\perp (Y)) - P^\perp (\mathcal{G}_\psi X Y) \).

Lemma 2 For \( Y \in \mathcal{D}_\psi \), \( \mathcal{D}_\psi X Y = P(\mathcal{G}_\psi X Y) \).

Lemma 3 Expression for \( \mathcal{D}_\psi \gamma' \), where \( \{ X_i \} \) orthogonal family spanning \( \mathcal{D}_\psi \):

\[
\mathcal{D}_\psi \gamma' = \mathcal{D}_\psi (v^i X_i) = \dot{v}^i X_i + v^i (\mathcal{D}_\psi X_i)
\]

Inner product with \( X_k \):

\[
\langle X_k, \mathcal{D}_\psi \gamma' \rangle = \dot{v}^i \langle X_k, X_i \rangle + v^i v^j \langle X_k, \mathcal{D}_\psi X_i \rangle
\]

Final simplification:

\[
\langle \mathcal{D}_\psi X, X_k \rangle = \langle P(\mathcal{G}_\psi X, X_i), X_k \rangle = \langle \mathcal{G}_\psi X, X_i, X_k \rangle
\]
13 Ideal impact models

- here only ideal case: no friction, plastic/elastic, holonomic/nonholonomic impact
- impact entails
  (i) impulsive force that causes a jump in $\gamma'$
  (ii) switch in equations of motions

Reference on impact models


13.1 Definition of impact

- $(Q, G, F = \text{span}\{F^1, \ldots, F^m\})$ is a simple mechanical system
- $\mathcal{D}^-$ and $\mathcal{D}^+$ are two set of feasible velocities (right before, right after impact)
- $(\nabla^-, P^- F)$ and $(\nabla^+, P^+ F)$ give eqns of motion,
  $(P$ is orthogonal projection onto feasible velocities)

The system undergoes an impact at time $t$ if

(i) the dynamics switch from $(\nabla^-, P^- F)$ to $(\nabla^+, P^+ F)$,

(ii) there exists a tensor field $J_q : T_q Q \to T_q Q$ such that

$$q(t^+) = q(t^-)$$

$$\gamma'(t^+) = J_q (\gamma'(t^-)).$$

13.2 Classic impacts

Plastic impact from large to smaller space: The two sets of feasible velocities $\mathcal{D}^-$ and $\mathcal{D}^+$ are distinct (for example $\mathcal{D}^- = TQ$ and $\mathcal{D}^+ = TR$ is the tangent space of a submanifold $R \subset Q$). The operator

$$J_q = P_{\mathcal{D}^+}$$

is the orthogonal projection onto $\mathcal{D}^+$.

Elastic impact against surface: The equations of motion do not change, as connection and input forces do not change. There exist a submanifold $R$ such that

$$J_q = P_{TR} + (-e)P_{TR}^\perp$$

where $P_{TR}$ is the orthogonal projection onto the tangent space to $R$ and where $0 < e < 1$ is the coefficient of restitution.

13.3 Hybrid mechanical control systems

given a mechanical control system $(Q, G, F)$ with a given set of constraint distributions $\mathcal{D}_i$, where $i$ belongs to an index set $I$.

For each constraint $\mathcal{D}_i$, we consider the constrained mechanical control system

$$\Sigma_i = [Q, G, \mathcal{D}_i, U],$$

with associated $\nabla_i$ and $\mathcal{Y}_i$.

We define the hybrid mechanical control system as

$$\text{HMCS} = [I, Q, \Sigma_Q, V, \Delta]$$

where $I$ index set, $Q$, $\Sigma_Q$ collection of constrained mech. sys., $V = \{v_{ij}\}_{i,j \in I}$ discrete controls and $\Delta$ jump transition maps (linear operators in $\gamma'$ parametrized by $v_{ij}$).
Summary of Modeling Methods  
(lectures #1 and #2)

Simple mechanical control systems with constraints

A simple mechanical control system with constraints is a quintuple $(Q, G, V, D, \mathcal{F})$ comprised of the following objects:

(i) an $n$-dimensional configuration manifold $Q$, 

(ii) a Riemannian metric $G$ on $Q$ describing the kinetic energy, 

(iii) a function $V$ on $Q$ describing the potential energy, 

(iv) a distribution $D$ of feasible velocities describing the linear velocity constraints, and 

(v) a collection of $m$ covector fields $\mathcal{F} = \{F^1, \ldots, F^m\}$, linearly independent at each $q \in Q$, defining the control forces.

Given the metric $G$ and the distribution $\mathcal{D}$, we define the following objects. We let $P : TQ \to T^*Q$ be the orthogonal projection onto the distribution $\mathcal{D}$ with respect to the metric $G$. We let $^G\nabla$ be the Levi-Civita connection on $Q$ induced by the metric $G$. We let $\nabla$ be the constrained affine connection defined by the metric $G$ and the constraint distribution $\mathcal{D}$ according to

$$\nabla_X Y = ^G\nabla_X Y - (^G\nabla_X P)(Y),$$

for any vector fields $X$ and $Y$. When the vector field $Y$ takes value in $\mathcal{D}$, we have

$$\nabla_X Y = P(^G\nabla_X Y),$$

A controlled trajectory for the mechanical control system with constraints $(Q, G, V, D, \mathcal{F})$ is a pair $(\gamma, u)$ with $\gamma : [0, T] \to Q$ and $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_m) : [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}^m$ satisfying the controlled geodesic equations

$$\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(t)} \dot{\gamma}(t) = -P(\text{grad} V(\gamma(t))) + \sum_{a=1}^{m} Y_a(\gamma(t))u_a(t). \tag{5}$$

Here we assume that $\dot{\gamma}(0) \in D_{\gamma(0)}$ and comment that this implies that $\dot{\gamma}(t) \in D_{\gamma(t)}$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. Furthermore, we assume the input functions $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_m) : [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}^m$ to be Lebesgue measurable functions, and we write $u \in \mathcal{U}^m_{\text{dyn}}$. 

Given the Riemannian metric $G$, we let $G : TQ \to T^*Q$ and $G^{-1} : T^*Q \to TQ$ denote the musical isomorphisms associated with $G$. For $a \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$, we define the input vector fields $Y_a = P(G^{-1}(F^a))$, the family of input vector fields $\mathcal{Y} = \{Y_1, \ldots, Y_m\}$, and the input distribution $\mathcal{D}$ with $\mathcal{D} = \text{span}_\mathbb{R}\{Y_1(q), \ldots, Y_m(q)\}$. Let $\mathcal{L}_X f$ be the Lie derivative of a scalar function $f$ with respect to the vector field $X$. The gradient of the function $V$ is the vector field $\text{grad} V$ defined implicitly by

$$G(\text{grad} V, X) = \mathcal{L}_X V.$$
Coordinate representation #1

On an open subset $U \subset Q$ let $\mathcal{X} = \{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$ be a basis of vector fields, and set

$$\nabla_{X_i} X_j = (\lambda^k)_{ij} X_k,$$

where the $n^3$ functions $\{(\lambda^k)_{ij} | i, j, k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}\}$ are called the generalized Christoffel symbols with respect to $\mathcal{X}$. Given vector fields $Y$ and $Z$ on $U$, we can write $Y = Y^i X_i$ and $Z = Z^j X_j$. Accordingly,

$$\nabla_Y Z = \left((\mathcal{L}_Y Z)^k + (\lambda^k)_{ij} Z^i Y^j\right) X_k.$$

Let the velocity curve $\dot{\gamma} : I \rightarrow TU$ have components $(v^1, \ldots, v^n)$ with respect to $\mathcal{X}$, i.e.,

$$\dot{\gamma}(t) = v^i(t) X_i(\gamma(t)).$$

The pair $(\gamma, v)$ is a controlled trajectory for the controlled geodesic equations (5) if and only if it solves the controlled Poincaré equations

$$\dot{v}^k + (\lambda^k)_{ij}(\gamma)v^iv^j = - (P \text{ grad } V)^k(\gamma) + \sum_{a=1}^{m} Y^k_a(\gamma)u_a.$$

Coordinate representation #2

Let $(q^1, \ldots, q^n)$ be a coordinate system for the open subset $U \subset Q$. The curve $\gamma : I \rightarrow U$ has therefore components $(\gamma^1, \ldots, \gamma^n)$. The coordinate system on $U$ induces the natural coordinate basis $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial q^1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial q^n}\}$ for the tangent bundle $TU$.

With respect to this basis, we write the velocity curve $\dot{\gamma} : I \rightarrow TU$ as

$$\dot{\gamma}(t) = \dot{\gamma}^i(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i}(\gamma).$$

In the coordinate system $(q^1, \ldots, q^n)$, we write $\gamma = (\gamma^1, \ldots, \gamma^n)$,

$$\dot{\gamma} = (\dot{\gamma}^1, \ldots, \dot{\gamma}^n),$$

and the equations of motion read

$$\ddot{\gamma}^k + \Gamma^k_{ij}\dot{\gamma}^i\dot{\gamma}^j = - (P \text{ grad } V)^k(\gamma) + \sum_{a=1}^{m} Y^k_a u_a.$$

Here, the Christoffel symbols $\{\Gamma^k_{ij} | i, j, k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}\}$ and the terms in the right-hand side are computed with respect to the natural coordinate basis. We refer to these equations as the controlled Euler-Lagrange equations.

Remarks

(i) If the distribution $\mathcal{D}$ has rank $p < n$, it is useful to construct a local basis for $TQ$ by selecting the first $p$ vector fields to generate $\mathcal{D}$, and the remaining $n - p$ to generate $\mathcal{D}^\perp$. In this case, one can see that $v^k(t) = 0$ for all time $t$ and all $k \in \{p + 1, \ldots, n\}$.

(ii) Assume a Lie group $G$ acts on the manifold $Q$, and assume the metric $G$, and the distribution $\mathcal{D}$ are invariant. Then the constrained connection $\nabla$ is invariant, and, selecting invariant vector fields $\{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$, the generalized Christoffel symbols are invariant functions.

(iii) simple mechanical control systems can be modeled under the general framework of affine connection control systems

$$\nabla_{\gamma'}\gamma' = Y_0(\gamma) + R(\gamma') + \sum_{a=1}^{m} Y_a(\gamma)u_a(t)$$
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This lecture based on the following references

13.4 Intro: Perturbation methods for mechanical control systems

Before design, analyse forced response of Lagrangian system from rest

I) High magnitude high frequency
“oscillatory control & vibrational stabilization”

\[
H = H(q, p) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \varphi \left(q, p, u \left(\frac{t}{\epsilon}\right)\right)
\]

\[p(0) = p_0\]

II) Small input from rest
“small-time local controllability”

\[
H = H(q, p) + \epsilon \varphi(q, p, u(t))
\]

\[p(0) = 0\]

III) Classical formulation
integrable Hamiltonian systems

\[
H = H(q, p) + \epsilon \varphi(q, p)
\]

\[p(0) = p_0\]

13.5 Intro: oscillatory control

Known: Oscillatory controls generate motion in Lie bracket directions

\[
\dot{x} = f(x) + g_1(x) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \sin \frac{t}{\epsilon}\right) + g_2(x) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \cos \frac{t}{\epsilon}\right)
\]

Today’s objective: oscillatory controls in mechanical systems

\[
\nabla \gamma' = Y(q, t)
\]

\[\gamma'(0) = 0, \int_0^T Y(q, t)dt = 0\]

13.6 Coordinate-free modelling: I

- manifold \(Q\), metric \(G\)
- vector fields are written in terms of the canonical basis \(\{\frac{\partial}{\partial q^1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial q^n}\}\), and co-vector fields in terms of \(\{dq^1, \ldots, dq^n\}\)
- given a function \(\varphi\):

\[
d\varphi = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial q^i} dq^i
\]

\[\text{grad} \varphi = \left(\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial q^i \partial q^j}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i}\]

\[\dot{q} = -\text{grad} \varphi(q) \quad \ldots \text{(negative) gradient flow}\]

Incomplete List of References on Series Expansion and Averaging related to Mechanical Systems


13.7 Coordinate-free modelling: II

(i) given functions \( \{ \Gamma_{jk}^i \} \) and curve \( \gamma : I \to \mathbb{R} \)

\[
(\nabla_\gamma \gamma')^i = \Gamma_{jk}^i \gamma^j \gamma^k = 0
\]

... geodesic flow

(ii) Given two vector fields \( X, Y \), the covariant derivative of \( Y \) with respect to \( X \) is the third vector field \( \nabla_X Y \) defined via

\[
(\nabla_X Y)^i = \frac{\partial Y^i}{\partial q^j} X^j + \Gamma_{jk}^i Y^j X^k.
\]

(iii) symmetric product

\[
\langle Y_a : Y_b \rangle = \nabla_{Y_a} Y_b + \nabla_{Y_b} Y_a
\]

\[
(\langle Y_a : Y_b \rangle)^i = \frac{\partial Y^i}{\partial q^j} Y^j_b + \frac{\partial Y^i}{\partial q^j} Y^j_a + \Gamma_{jk}^i (Y^j_a Y^k_b + Y^j_b Y^k_a).
\]

13.8 Coordinate-free modelling: III

affine connection control system

\[
\nabla_\gamma \gamma' = Y_0(\gamma) + R(\gamma') + \sum_{a=1}^{m} Y_a(\gamma) u_a(t)
\]

Ex #1: robotic manipulators with kinetic energy and forces at joints

simple systems with conservative forces

Ex #2: aerospace and underwater vehicles

invariant systems on Lie groups

Ex #3: systems subject to nonholonomic constraints

locomotion devices with drift, e.g., bicycle, snake-like robots

14 Perturbation Analysis I:

the “oscillatory control & vibrational stabilization” setting

(Bentsman et al., '86 – present) vibrational stabilization
(Baillieul '93 – present) discovery, study, apps of averaged potential

\[
\nabla_\gamma \gamma' = Y_0(\gamma) + R(\gamma') + Y(\gamma) u(t)
\]

\[
u(t) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} v \left( \frac{t}{\epsilon} \right)
\]

where forcing \( v \) is \( T \)-periodic

\[
\int_0^T v(s_1) ds_1 = \int_0^T \int_0^{s_1} v(s_2) ds_1 ds_2 = 0
\]

and let

\[
\lambda = \frac{1}{2T} \int_0^T \left( \int_0^{s_1} v(s_2) ds_2 \right)^2 ds_1
\]

(i) approximation valid as \( \epsilon \to 0 \) on the time scale \( t \in [0, 1] \)

(ii) approximation valid as \( \epsilon \to 0 \) on the time scale \( t \in [0, \infty) \),

if \( (\gamma, \gamma') = (0, 0) \) is an hyperbolically stable critical point
14.2 Ex #1: a 2-link manipulator

Two-link damped manipulator with oscillatory control at first joint. The averaging analysis predicts the behavior. (the gray line is \( \theta_1 \), the black line is \( \theta_2 \)). See later explanation for stability of \((0, \pi/2)\).

\[ u = -\theta_1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \cos \left( \frac{t}{\epsilon} \right) \]

14.3 Ex #2: the roller racer

(i) recall \( X_1, X_2 \) two vector fields describing feasible velocities of racer
(ii) racer has single input \( Y = X_2 \)
(iii) symmetric product \( \langle Y : Y \rangle \) has component along \( X_1 \)
(iv) hence, racer moves forward (or backward?) using zero mean input

14.4 Extension: Two-time scales result

\[ \nabla \gamma \gamma' = \text{Gravity} + \text{Damping} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} v \left( \frac{t}{\epsilon} \right) Y(t, \gamma) \]

\( v(t) \) is \( T \)-periodic and cyclic

\[ \nabla \gamma \gamma' = \text{Gravity} + \text{Damping} + \lambda \langle Y : Y \rangle(t, \gamma) \]

\[ \lambda = \frac{1}{2T} \int_0^T \left( \int_0^{s_1} v(s_2) ds_2 \right)^2 ds_1 \]

as \( \epsilon \to 0 \) on appropriate time scale

\[ u = -\theta_1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \cos \left( \frac{t}{\epsilon} \right) \]

why stable?
15 Simplified averaging analyses for SMCS with conservative forces

Integrable forces in the sense of conservative forces:

\[ Y(q, t) = \text{grad} \varphi(q, t), \quad (\text{grad} \varphi)^i = G^{ij} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial q^j} \]

Symmetric product restricts

\[ \langle \text{grad} \varphi_a : \text{grad} \varphi_b \rangle = \text{grad} \langle \varphi_a : \varphi_b \rangle \]

where Beltrami bracket (Crouch '81):

\[ \langle \varphi_i : \varphi_j \rangle = \langle d\varphi_i : d\varphi_j \rangle = G^{ab} \frac{\partial \varphi_i}{\partial q^a} \frac{\partial \varphi_j}{\partial q^b} \]

Relationship between: (i) certain Lie brackets between vector fields on TQ, (ii) symmetric products of vector fields on Q, Beltrami bracket of functions (and, averaged potential)

15.1 Analysis I: averaging energy

In the open loop,

\[ \mathcal{E}(q, v) = \frac{1}{2} ||v||^2 + V(q) \]

but for controlled geodesic equations with input vector field

\[ \sum_{a=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\epsilon} v^a \left( \frac{t}{\epsilon} \right) \text{grad} \varphi_a(q) \]

Averaged potential and energy

\[ \mathcal{E}_{\text{averaged}}(q, p) = \frac{1}{2} ||v||^2 + V_{\text{averaged}}(q) \]

\[ V_{\text{averaged}}(q) = V(q) + \Lambda^{ab} \langle \varphi_a : \varphi_b \rangle(q) \]

\[ \Lambda^{ab} = \frac{1}{2T} \int_0^T \left( \int_0^{s_1} v^a(s_2)ds_2 \left( \int_0^{s_1} v^b(s_2)ds_2 \right) ds_1 \right) \]

16 Proofs

16.1 Theorem statement

Consider a control system described by an affine connection

\[ \nabla_{\gamma'} \gamma' = Y_0(q) + R(\gamma') + Y_a(\gamma') \frac{1}{\epsilon} v^a(t/\epsilon) \]

where \( \gamma'(0) = v_0 \), and where \{v^1, \ldots, v^m\} are T-periodic functions st:

\[ \int_0^T v^a(s_1)ds_1 = 0 = \int_0^T \int_0^{s_2} v^a(s_1)ds_1 ds_2 = 0 \]

Define the matrix \( \Lambda \) according to:

\[ \Lambda^{ab} = \frac{1}{2T} \int_0^T \left( \int_0^{s_1} v^a(s_2)ds_2 \left( \int_0^{s_1} v^b(s_2)ds_2 \right) ds_1 \right) \]

Define the time-varying vector field

\[ \Xi(t, q) = \left( \int_0^t v^a(s)ds \right) Y_a(q) \]
Theorem 2 (Averaging under oscillatory control). Let $\gamma: I \to Q$ be the solution to the initial value problem in equation (9) and let $r: I \to Q$ be the solution to
\[
\nabla_r r' = Y_0(r) + R(r)\dot{r} - \Lambda^{ab}(Y_a : Y_b)(r)
\]
\[
r(0) = q_0, \quad \dot{r}(0) = v_0.
\]
There exist a positive $\epsilon_0$, such that for all $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$
\[
\gamma(t) = r(t) + O(\epsilon)
\]
\[
\gamma'(t) = r'(t) + \Xi(t/\epsilon, \gamma(t)) + O(\epsilon)
\]
as $\epsilon \to 0$ on the time scale 1.

---


16.2 Fact #1: Coordinate-free Averaging

Let $x, y, x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0]$ with $\epsilon_0 \ll 1$. Let $f, g: \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be smooth time-varying vector fields. Consider the initial value problem in standard form:
\[
\frac{dx}{dt} = \epsilon f(t, x), \quad x(0) = x_0.
\]
Assume $f(t, x)$ is a $T$-periodic function in $t$, and define the averaged system:
\[
\frac{dy}{dt} = \epsilon f^0(y), \quad y(0) = x_0,
\]
\[
f^0(y) = \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T f(t, y)dt.
\]

Theorem 3 (First order averaging). There exists $\epsilon_0$, such that for $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$,
\[
x(t) - y(t) = O(\epsilon)
\]
as $\epsilon \to 0$ on the time scale $1/\epsilon$.

Recall: an estimate is on the time scale $\delta(\epsilon)$, if it holds for all $t$ such that $0 < \delta^{-1}(\epsilon)t < L$ with $L$ independent of $\epsilon$.

---

Fact #1: Coordinate-free Averaging – continued

\[
\frac{dx}{dt} = f(x) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} g\left(\frac{t}{\epsilon}, x\right), \quad x(0) = x_0,
\]
where $g(t, x)$ is a $T$-periodic function in $t$. Define
\[
F(t, x) = ((\Phi_{0,t}^g)^* f)(x), \quad F^0(x) = \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T F(r, x)dr.
\]
Finally, let $z$ and $y$ be solutions to the initial value problems
\[
\dot{z} = F(t/\epsilon, z), \quad z(0) = x_0,
\]
\[
\dot{y} = F^0(y), \quad y(0) = x_0.
\]

Theorem 4 (First order averaging for oscillatory controls). Let $F$ be a $T$-periodic function in $t$. For $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we have
\[
x(t) = \Phi_{0,t/\epsilon}^g(z(t)).
\]
As $\epsilon \to 0$ on the time scale 1, we have
\[
x(t) = \Phi_{0,t/\epsilon}^g(y(t)) + O(\epsilon)
\]

---

Fact #1: Coordinate-free averaging – the variation of constants formula

\[
\frac{dx}{dt} = f(x) + g(x) \quad g \text{ is nominal, } f \text{ is perturbation}
\]

flow along $X + Y$

\[
\Phi_{0,T}^{X+Y}(q_0) = \Phi_{0,T}^Y(\delta q_0)
\]

flow along $Y$

\[
\Phi_{0,t}^{f+g}(q_0) = \Phi_{0,t}^g(\delta q_0), \quad \delta q_0 = \Phi_{0,t}^\Delta(q_0), \quad \Delta = ((\Phi_{0,t}^g)^* f)
\]
\[
\Delta = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!} \text{ad}_g f = f + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t \text{ad}_{g_{s_n}} \ldots \text{ad}_{g_1} f \ ds_n \ldots ds_1
\]
16.3 Fact #3: Homogeneity properties and Lie algebraic structure of affine connection control systems

Given $\gamma = (\gamma^1, \ldots, \gamma^n)$, write second order ODE on $Q$ as first order ODE on $TQ$:

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
\dot{\gamma}^i \\
\ddot{\gamma}^i
\end{pmatrix}
= 
\begin{pmatrix}
\dot{\gamma}^i \\
\ddot{\gamma}^i
\end{pmatrix}
+ 
\begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
\Gamma^i_{jk}(\gamma) \dot{\gamma}^j \dot{\gamma}^k
\end{pmatrix}
+ 
\begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
Y_i^j(\gamma)
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

Lie algebraic & homogeneous structure

$$
P_i = \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\text{homogeneous polynomial of degree } i \text{ in } \dot{\gamma}^1, \ldots, \dot{\gamma}^n \\
\text{homogeneous polynomial of degree } (i+1) \text{ in } \dot{\gamma}^1, \ldots, \dot{\gamma}^n
\end{array} \right\}
$$

$$
Z \in P_1 \ldots Y^{\text{lift}} \in P_{-1}
$$

Lie bracket diagram

Coordinated independent treatment

(i) Geometric homogeneity, Kawski '95:

given a Euler v.f. $X_E$, $Y$ is homogeneous of degree $\nu$ if $[X_E, Y] = \nu Y$

(ii) Liouville vector field $X_E(q, v) = v^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i}$; key identities on $TQ$:

$$
[X_E, Z] = (+1)Z
$$

$$
[X_E, Y^{\text{lift}}] = (-1)Y^{\text{lift}}.
$$

Hence, degree of $Z$ is $+1$, degree of $Y^{\text{lift}}$ is $-1$
16.4 Fact #4: putting it all together

Write second order equation (9) as first order — let $x = (q, \dot{q})$ and

$$f(x) = Z(x) + Y_0^{\text{lift}}(x) + R^{\text{lift}}(x),$$

$$g(t, x) = \sum_{a=1}^{m} Y_a^{\text{lift}}(x)v^a(t).$$

Define the vector field $F$

$$F(t, y) = \left( (\Phi_{0, t}^g)^*f \right)(y) = \left( \Phi_{0, t}^{\sum Y_a^{\text{ini}}(y)v^a(t)} \right)^*(Z(y) + Y_0^{\text{lift}}(y) + R^{\text{lift}}(y)).$$

and compute it according to the series expansion

$$(\Phi_{0, t}^g)^*f = f + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t \cdots \int_0^{t-k-1} \left( \text{ad}_g(s_k) \cdots \text{ad}_g(s_1) f \right) ds_k \cdots ds_1.$$

The Lie algebraic structure implies

$$\text{ad}^k_{Y_0^{\text{ini}}}(Z(y) + Y_0^{\text{lift}}(y) + R^{\text{lift}}(y)) = 0, \quad \forall k \geq 3,$$

$$\text{ad}^k_{Y_0^{\text{ini}}} \text{ad}^k_{Y_0^{\text{ini}}}(Z(y) + Y_0^{\text{lift}}(y) + R^{\text{lift}}(y)) = - \langle Y_a : Y_b \rangle^{\text{lift}}.$$

Some bookkeeping:

$$\left( \Phi_{0, t}^{\sum Y_a^{\text{ini}}(y)v^a(t)} \right)^*(Z(y) + Y_0^{\text{lift}}(y) + R^{\text{lift}}(y))$$

$$= \left( Z + Y_0^{\text{lift}} + R^{\text{lift}} \right) + \left( \int_0^t v^a(s_1)ds_1 \right) \left[ Y_a^{\text{lift}}, (Z + Y_0^{\text{lift}} + R^{\text{lift}}) \right]$$

$$+ \left( \int_0^t \int_0^{s_k} v^a(s_2)ds_2 \right) \left( Y_a^{\text{lift}}, (Z + Y_0^{\text{lift}} + R^{\text{lift}}) \right]$$

$$= \left( Z + Y_0^{\text{lift}} + R^{\text{lift}} \right) + \left( \int_0^t v^a(s_1)ds_1 \right) \left[ Y_a^{\text{lift}}, (Z + R^{\text{lift}}) \right]$$

$$- \left( \int_0^t \int_0^{s_k} v^b(s_2)v^a(s_3)ds_2ds_3 \right) \langle Y_a : Y_b \rangle^{\text{lift}}.$$

An integration by parts and the symmetry of the symmetric product:

$$\left( \int_0^t \int_0^{s_k} v^b(s_2)v^a(s_3)ds_2ds_3 \right) \langle Y_a : Y_b \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_0^t v^b(s_2)ds_2 \int_0^t v^a(s_3)ds_3 \right) \langle Y_a : Y_b \rangle,$$

In summary

$$F(t, y) = \left( Z + Y_0^{\text{lift}} + R^{\text{lift}} \right) + \left( \int_0^t v^a(s_1)ds_1 \right) \left[ Y_a^{\text{lift}}, (Z + R^{\text{lift}}) \right]$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_0^t v^b(s_2)ds_2 \int_0^t v^a(s_3)ds_3 \right) \langle Y_a : Y_b \rangle^{\text{lift}}.$$

$F$ is $T$-periodic — compute its average $F^0$ as

$$F^0(y) = \left( Z + Y_0^{\text{lift}} + R^{\text{lift}} \right) - \Lambda^{ab} \langle Y_a : Y_b \rangle^{\text{lift}}.$$

This is what we wished to show.

17 Perturbation Analysis II: the “small-time local controllability” setting

Small input from rest

$$H = H(q, p) + \epsilon \varphi(q, u(t))$$

$$p(0) = 0$$

$$\nabla \gamma' = \sum_{a=1}^{m} Y_a(\gamma)u_a(t)$$

Objective: characterize forced flow via series expansion
17.1 Series expansions for polynomial systems

\[ \dot{x} = P(x, x) + Ax + Bu(t) \]
\[ x(0) = 0 \]

\[ x = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} x_k \]
\[ x_1(t) = \int_0^t e^{A(t-\tau)} Bu(\tau) d\tau \]
\[ x_k(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \int_0^t e^{A(t-\tau)} P(x_j(\tau), x_{k-j}(\tau)) d\tau, \quad k \geq 2. \]

convergence radius: \( \beta^2 \|u\|_{L_\infty} < 1 \), where \( \beta = 2 \|e^{At}\|_{L_1} \|P\|_\infty \)

17.2 Series expansion for affine connection control systems

\[ \nabla_{\gamma'} \gamma' = -k \gamma' + Y(\gamma, t) \]
\[ \gamma'(0) = 0 \]

\[ \gamma' = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} V_k(\gamma, t) \quad \text{absolute, uniform convergence} \]
\[ V_1(q, t) = \int_0^t e^{k(s-t)} Y(q, s) ds \]
\[ V_k(q, t) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \int_0^t e^{k(s-t)} \langle V_j(q, s) : V_{k-j}(q, s) \rangle ds \]

17.3 Series: comments

\[ \gamma' = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} V_k(\gamma, t) \]
\[ \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
V_1(q, t) = \int_0^t e^{k(s-t)} Y(q, s) ds, \\
V_{k+1}(q, t) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \int_0^t e^{k(s-t)} \langle V_j : V_{k-j} \rangle ds
\end{array} \right. \]

Error bounds:
\[ \|V_k(q, t)\| = O(\|Y\|k^2 t^{2k-1}). \]

In abbreviated notation
\[ V_1 = \overline{Y} \]
\[ V_2 = -\frac{1}{2} \langle \overline{Y} : \overline{Y} \rangle \]
\[ V_3 = \frac{1}{2} \langle \langle \overline{Y} : \overline{Y} \rangle : \overline{Y} \rangle \]

so that
\[ \gamma'(t) = \overline{Y}(q, t) - \frac{1}{2} \langle \overline{Y} : \overline{Y} \rangle(q, t) + \frac{1}{2} \langle \langle \overline{Y} : \overline{Y} \rangle : \overline{Y} \rangle(q, t) + O(\|Y\|^4 t^7). \]

17.4 Analysis II: a forces geodesic flow written as gradient flow

\[ \nabla_{\gamma'} \gamma' = \text{grad} \varphi(\gamma, t) \]
\[ \gamma'(0) = 0_{q_0} \]

\[ \gamma'(t) = \text{grad} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \varphi_k(\gamma(t), t) \quad \gamma(0) = q_0 \]
\[ \varphi_1(q, t) = \int_0^t \varphi(q, s) ds \]
\[ \varphi_k(q, t) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \int_0^t \langle \varphi_j(q, s) : \varphi_{k-j}(q, s) \rangle ds \]
### 17.5 Example of open-loop response: planar body

**Simple example:** body with one force through center of mass and one torque.

\[ q(0) = (0, 0, 0), \quad T = 2\pi \]

\[ u_1 = 0.5(\sin t - 2\sin 2t), \quad u_2 = 0.5\cos t \]

\[ \gamma' = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} V_k(\gamma, t) \]

\[ V_1(q, t) = \int_0^t e^{R(q)(t-s)} Y(q, s) ds \]

\[ V_k(q, t) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \int_0^t e^{R(q)(t-s)} (V_j(q, s) : V_{k-j}(q, s)) ds \]

Positive answer for isotropic damping: \( R = kI_n \).

### 17.6 Conjecture

\[ \nabla \gamma' \gamma' = R(\gamma') + Y(\gamma, t) \]

\[ \gamma'(0) = 0 \]

### 18 Summary

(i) innovative approach towards control of mechanical systems
   (homogeneity vs passivity)
   (perturbation methods vs energy and Lyapunov functions)

(ii) challenges: convergence & complexity

(iii) applications to controllability, vibrational stabilization, analysis of locomotion gaits, motion planning, optimal control, normal forms, etc

**Lecture #4: Kinematic Reductions and Configuration Controllability**

**Francesco Bullo**

Coordinated Science Lab, General Engineering Dept, ECE, AAE
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
1308 W. Main St, Urbana, IL 61801, USA
bullo@uiuc.edu, http://motion.csl.uiuc.edu

*This lecture based on the following references*


Incomplete List of References on Kinematic Modeling and Controllability


18.1 Preliminaries: Kinematic modeling

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= v \cos \phi \\
\dot{y} &= v \sin \phi \\
\dot{\phi} &= \omega \\
\end{align*}
\]

(wheeled robot dynamics)

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\dot{x}_r \\
\dot{y}_r \\
\dot{\phi}
\end{bmatrix} = 
\begin{bmatrix}
\cos \theta & \sin \theta \\
-sin \theta & \cos \theta \\
0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
v \\
\omega
\end{bmatrix}
\]

18.2 Preliminaries: Controllability theory

Given a driftless system \( \dot{x} = g_1(x)u_1 + g_2(x)u_2 \)

define Lie bracket: \([g_1(x), g_2(x)] = \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial x}g_1 - \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial x}g_2\)

system is controllable iff LARC

Example: car parking problem

19 Kinematic reductions for simple mechanical control systems with constraints

(i) Objective: relationships between the given mechanical control system and an appropriate low-complexity kinematic representation

(ii) treatment for simple mechanical control systems subject to no potential energy

(iii) we relate controlled trajectories for the (second-order) controlled geodesic equation

\[
\nabla_{\gamma(t)} \dot{\gamma}(t) = \sum_{a=1}^{m} Y_a(\gamma(t))u_a(t).
\]

to controlled trajectories for driftless control systems on \(Q\).

when can a second order system follow the solution of a first order?
19.1 Motivating example

**Simple example:** body with one force through center of mass and one torque

(i) Can follow any straight line and can turn (2 preferred velocity fields)

(ii) Controllable via these two motions (hence, interesting for planning problems)

search for decoupling vector fields describing 1st order ODEs whose time-scaled flow is solutions to (forced) 2nd order ODEs

19.2 Kinematic reductions and decoupling vector fields

In short, $\mathcal{V}$ is a **kinematic reduction** if any curve $\gamma: I \to Q$ solving the (controlled) kinematic model can be lifted to a solution to a solution of the (controlled) dynamic model.

More accurately, the kinematic model induced by $\mathcal{V} = \{V_1, \ldots, V_\ell\}$ is a kinematic reduction of the dynamic model, if, for any control input $w \in \mathcal{W}_\text{kin}$ and corresponding controlled trajectory $(\gamma, w)$ for the kinematic model, there exists a control input $u \in \mathcal{W}_\text{dyn}$ such that $(\gamma, u)$ is a controlled trajectory for the dynamic model.

- The **rank** of a kinematic reduction is the rank of the distribution generated by the vector fields $\mathcal{V}$.

- Rank-one kinematic reductions are particularly interesting. We shall call a vector field $V$ **decoupling** if the rank-one kinematic system induced by $\mathcal{V} = \{V\}$ is a kinematic reduction. Hence, the second-order control system can be steered along any time-scaled integral curve of a decoupling vector field.

19.3 Kinematic reductions and decoupling vector fields: cont’d

The kinematic model induced by $\{V_1, \ldots, V_\ell\}$ is a kinematic reduction of the mechanical control system $(Q, G, V = 0, \mathcal{F})$ if and only if the distribution $\text{span}\{V_i, \langle V_j : V_k \rangle | i, j, k \in \{1, \ldots, \ell\}\}$ is a sub-distribution of the input distribution $\mathcal{W}$.

The vector field $V$ is decoupling if and only if $V \in \mathcal{W}$ and $\langle V : V \rangle \in \mathcal{W}$.

Nomenclature:

(i) The controlled geodesic equation is a **dynamic models** of mechanical systems:

$$\nabla_\gamma \dot{\gamma}(t) = \sum_{a=1}^{m} Y_a(\gamma(t))u_a(t).$$

In dynamic models the control inputs $u$ are accelerations, and assumed Lebesgue measurable functions: $u \in \mathcal{W}_\text{dyn}$.

(ii) In contrast to this, we refer to first-order differential equations on $Q$ as **kinematic models** of mechanical systems. Let $\mathcal{V} = \{V_1, \ldots, V_\ell\}$ be a family of vector fields. For curves $\gamma: [0, T] \to Q$ and $w: [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}^\ell$, consider the kinematic model induced by $\mathcal{V}$

$$\dot{\gamma}(t) = \sum_{b=1}^{\ell} V_b(\gamma(t))w_b(t).$$

In kinematic models, the control inputs are velocity variables, and are assumed absolutely continuous: $w \in \mathcal{W}_\text{kin}$.
19.4 Mechanical systems fully reducible to kinematic systems

when is a mechanical system kinematic?

That is, when will the largest possible kinematic reduction, i.e., $\mathcal{V}$ will be attained?

The dynamic model for the system $(Q, G, V = 0, \mathcal{F})$ is fully reducible to the kinematic system induced by $\mathcal{V}$ if, $\mathcal{V}$ is a kinematic reduction of $(Q, G, V = 0, \mathcal{F})$ and if, for any control input $u \in \mathcal{W}_\text{dyn}^m$, initial condition $\dot{\gamma}(0) \in \text{span}(\mathcal{V})$, and corresponding controlled trajectory $(\gamma, u)$ for the dynamic model, there exists a control input $w \in \mathcal{W}_\text{kin}^L$ such that $(\gamma, w)$ is a controlled trajectory for the kinematic model induced by $\mathcal{V}$.

A dynamic system is fully reducible to a kinematic system is there exists one such collection of vector fields $\mathcal{V}$.

19.5 Mechanical systems fully reducible to kinematic systems: cont’d

A distribution $\mathcal{X}$ is said to be geodesically invariant if it is closed under operation of symmetric product, i.e., if for all vector fields $X$ and $Y$ taking values in $\mathcal{X}$, the vector field $(X : Y)$ also takes value in $\mathcal{X}$. The symmetric closure of the distribution $\mathcal{X}$ is the smallest geodesically invariant distribution containing $\mathcal{X}$.

Theorem 5. A mechanical control system is fully reducible to a kinematic system if and only if

(i) the kinematic system is induced by the input distribution $\mathcal{V}$ and

(ii) the input distribution $\mathcal{V}$ is geodesically invariant.

20 Accessibility and controllability notions

20.1 Controllable kinematic systems

Here we consider the family $\mathcal{V} = \{V_1, \ldots, V_L\}$ giving rise to the driftless / kinematic control system. For $q_0 \in Q$ we denote

$$\mathcal{R}^V(q_0, T) = \{\gamma(T) \mid (\gamma, u) \text{ is a controlled trajectory for kinematic model defined on } [0, T] \text{ with } \gamma(0) = q_0\},$$

and $\mathcal{R}^V(q_0, \leq T) = \bigcup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathcal{R}^V(q_0, t)$.

Definition 6. The kinematic system induced by $\mathcal{V}$ is

(i) locally accessible from $q_0$ if there exists $T > 0$ so that $\text{int}(\mathcal{R}^V(q_0, \leq t)) \neq \emptyset$ for $t \in (0, T]$, is

(ii) small-time locally controllable (STLC) from $q_0$ if there exists $T > 0$ so that $q_0 \in \text{int}(\mathcal{R}^V(q_0, \leq t))$ for $t \in (0, T]$, and is

(iii) controllable if for every $q_1, q_2 \in Q$ there exists a controlled trajectory $(\gamma, u)$ defined on $[0, T]$ for some $T > 0$ with the property that $\gamma(0) = q_1$ and $\gamma(T) = q_2$.

Theorem 7. The kinematic system is STLC (and therefore accessible) from $q_0$ if and only if $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{E}\{\text{span}(\mathcal{V})\} = T_{q_0} Q$. Furthermore, if $Q$ is connected and if $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{E}\{\text{span}(\mathcal{V})\} = T_q Q$ for each $q \in Q$, then the kinematic mode is controllable.
20.2 Kinematically controllable dynamic systems

(i) A dynamic mechanical system described by \((Q, G, V, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F})\) is **kinematically controllable** if there exists a sequence of kinematic reductions 
\(|\forall i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}| \text{rank } V_i = i \rangle \) so that for every \(q_1, q_2 \in Q\) there are corresponding controlled trajectories 
\(|\forall \gamma_i, \dot{\gamma}_i \rangle: [T_{i-1}, T_i] \to Q, w_i: [T_{i-1}, T_i] \to \mathbb{R}^i, i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}| \) such that 
\(\gamma_1(T_0) = q_1, \gamma_k(T_k) = q_2, \) and \(\dot{\gamma}_i(T_i) = \dot{\gamma}_{i+1}(T_i)\) for all \(i \in \{1, \ldots, k - 1\}\).

(ii) In other words, any \(q_2 \in Q\) is reachable from any \(q_1 \in Q\) by concatenating motions on \(Q\) corresponding to kinematic reductions of the dynamic system

(iii) The dynamic system is **locally kinematically controllable** from \(q_0\) if, for any neighborhood of \(q_0\) on \(Q\), the set of reachable configurations by trajectories remaining in the neighborhood and following motions of its kinematic reductions contains \(q_0\) in its interior.

20.3 Controllable dynamic systems

Consider a dynamic mechanical system \((Q, G, V, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F})\). For \(q_0 \in Q\), denote 
\[\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{T}Q}(q_0, T) = \{\dot{\gamma}(T) \mid (\gamma, u)\text{ is a controlled trajectory of the dynamic model defined on } [0, T] \text{ and satisfying } \dot{\gamma}(0) = 0_{q_0}\}.\]
Here \(0_{q_0} \in T_{q_0} Q\) is the zero vector. Also, 
\[\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{T}Q}(q_0, \leq T) = \bigcup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{T}Q}(q_0, t).\]

**Definition 9.** Consider a dynamic mechanical system \((Q, G, V, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F})\) and let \(q_0 \in Q\). Suppose that the controls for the dynamic system are restricted to take their values in a compact set of \(\mathbb{R}^m\) which contains 0 in the interior of its convex hull. The dynamic system is

(i) **locally accessible** from \(q_0\) if there exists \(T > 0\) so that \(\text{int}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{T}Q}(q_0, \leq t)) \neq \emptyset\) for \(t \in (0, T]\), and is

(ii) **small-time locally controllable (STLC)** from \(q_0\) if there exists \(T > 0\) so that \(0_{q_0} \in \text{int}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{T}Q}(q_0, \leq t))\) for all \(t \in (0, T]\).

**Theorem 8.** Consider a dynamic mechanical system. The system is locally kinematically controllable if and only if it possesses a collection of decoupling vector fields (i.e., rank-one kinematic reductions) whose involutive closure has maximal rank everywhere in \(Q\).

**Notation:** Consider iterated symmetric products in the vector fields \(\{Y_1, \ldots, Y_m\}\).

(i) A symmetric product is **bad** if it contains an even number of each of the vector fields \(Y_1, \ldots, Y_m\), and otherwise is **good**. Thus, for example, 
\(\langle \langle Y_a : Y_b \rangle : \langle Y_a : Y_b \rangle \rangle\) is bad for all \(a, b \in \{1, \ldots, m\}\) and \(\langle Y_a : \langle Y_b : Y_c \rangle \rangle\) is good for any \(a, b, c \in \{1, \ldots, m\}\).

(ii) The **degree** of a symmetric product is the total number of input vector fields comprising the symmetric product. For example, our given bad symmetric product has degree 4 and the given good symmetric product has degree 3.

(iii) If \(P\) is a symmetric product in the vector fields \(\{Y_1, \ldots, Y_m\}\) and if \(\sigma \in S_m\) is an element of the permutation group on \(\{1, \ldots, m\}\), \(\sigma(P)\) denotes the symmetric product obtained by replacing each occurrence of \(Y_{\sigma(a)}\) with \(Y_a\).
**Theorem 10.** Consider a dynamic mechanical system described by 
$$(Q, G, V, D, F)$$ and let $q_0 \in Q$. The dynamic mechanical system is

(i) locally accessible from $q_0$ if and only if $\text{Sym}\{Y\}_{q_0} = T_{q_0}Q$, and is

(ii) STLC from $q_0$ if $\text{Sym}\{Y\}_{q_0} = T_{q_0}Q$ and if for every bad symmetric product $P$ we have
$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_m} \sigma(P)(q_0) \in \text{span}_R\{P_1(q_0), \ldots, P_k(q_0)\},$$
where $P_1, \ldots, P_k$ are good symmetric products of degree less than $P$.

The condition stated for STLC is derived from a result of Sussmann '87.

**Theorem 12.** Consider an analytic dynamic mechanical system described by 
$$(Q, G, V, D, F)$$ and let $q_0 \in Q$. The dynamic mechanical system is

(i) locally configuration accessible from $q_0$ if and only if $\text{Lie}\{\text{Sym}\{Y\}\}_{q_0} = T_{q_0}Q$, and is

(ii) STLCC from $q_0$ if $\text{Lie}\{\text{Sym}\{Y\}\}_{q_0} = T_{q_0}Q$ and if for every bad symmetric product $P$ we have
$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_m} \sigma(P)(q_0) \in \text{span}_R\{P_1(q_0), \ldots, P_k(q_0)\},$$
where $P_1, \ldots, P_k$ are good symmetric products of degree less than $P$.

20.4 Configuration controllable dynamic systems

The preceding discussion concerned the set of reachable states for a dynamic mechanical system. Let us now restrict to descriptions of the set of reachable configurations. We define
$$R_Q(q_0, T) = \tau(R_{TQ}(q_0, T)), \quad R_Q(q_0, \leq T) = \bigcup_{t \in [0, T]} R_Q(q_0, t).$$

This gives the following notions of controllability relative to configurations.

**Definition 11.** Consider a dynamic mechanical system described by 
$$(Q, G, V, D, F)$$ and let $q_0 \in Q$. The dynamic mechanical system is

(i) locally configuration accessible from $q_0$ if there exists $T > 0$ so that $\text{int}(R_Q(q_0, \leq t)) \neq \emptyset$ for all $t \in (0, T]$, and is

(ii) small-time locally configuration controllable (STLCC) from $q_0$ if there exists $T > 0$ so that $q_0 \in \text{int}(R_Q(q_0, \leq t))$ for all $t \in (0, T]$ with the controls restricted to take their values in a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^m$ that contains the origin in its convex hull.

20.5 Controllability inferences

- STLC = small-time locally controllable
- STLCC = small-time locally configuration controllable
- LKC = locally kinematically controllable
- FR-LKC = fully reducible, locally kinematically controllable

There exist counter-examples for each missing implication sign.
20.6 Controllability and Configuration Controllability

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Locally controllable:} & \quad \begin{cases} 
\text{rank}(\text{Sym}\{\mathcal{D}\})_{q_0} = n \\
\text{good/bad as above}
\end{cases} \\
\quad (q_0, 0) \xrightarrow{u} (q_f, 0) & \quad \text{can reach open set of configurations}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Configuration controllable:} & \quad \begin{cases} 
\text{rank}(\text{Lie}\{\text{Sym}\{\mathcal{D}\}\})_{q_0} = n \\
\text{good/bad as above}
\end{cases} \\
\quad (q_0, 0) \xrightarrow{u} (q_f, 0) & \quad \text{can reach open set of configurations}
\end{align*}
\]

Simplifications:

(i) for systems on group: algebraic tests on the Lie algebra

(ii) for systems with integrable forces: Beltrami brackets between functions

20.7 Graphical illustration

\[
\nabla \gamma' \gamma' = -k\gamma' + \sum_{a=1}^{m} Y_a(q)u_a
\]

\[
\gamma'(0) = 0
\]

given forces \{F^a\}

accessible accelerations \{Y_a = G^{-1}F^a\}

accessible velocities \{Y_a, (Y_b : Y_c), \ldots\}

accessible configurations \{Y_a, (Y_b : Y_c), [Y_b, Y_c], \ldots\}_M

20.8 An example controllability analysis: the snakeboard

Symmetric products:

\[
\begin{align*}
\langle X_2 : X_2 \rangle &= 0, \quad \langle X_3 : X_3 \rangle = 0, \\
\langle X_2 : X_3 \rangle &= \frac{J r}{m \ell^2} (\cos \phi) X_1 - \frac{J_r (\cos \phi \sin \phi)}{m \ell^2 + J_r (\sin \phi)^2} X_2,
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\text{span}\{X_2, X_3, (X_2 : X_3)\} = \emptyset \quad \text{if} \quad \cos \phi \neq 0.
\]

Lie brackets:

\[
\begin{align*}
[X_1, X_3] &= \ell (\sin \phi) V_x + (\cos \phi) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} V_y, \\
[X_1, [X_1, X_3]] &= -\ell (\sin \phi) V_y,
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\text{span}\{X_1, X_2, X_3, [X_1, X_3], [X_1, [X_1, X_3]]\} = \text{TQ} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{System is STLCC}
\]

20.9 An example controllability analysis: the roller racer

Symmetric products:

\[
\begin{align*}
\langle X_2 : X_2 \rangle &= 2 (\lambda \Gamma)^\bot_{22}(\psi) X_1 + 2 (\lambda \Gamma)^\bot_{22}(\psi) X_2 \\
\text{span}\{X_2, \langle X_2 : X_2 \rangle\} &= \emptyset \quad \text{if} \quad (\lambda \Gamma)^\bot_{22}(\psi) \neq 0
\end{align*}
\]

Lie brackets:

\[
\begin{align*}
[X_1, X_2] &= \frac{\ell_2}{\ell_2 + \ell_1 \cos \psi} V_y - \frac{\ell_1 + \ell_2 \cos \psi}{(\ell_2 + \ell_1 \cos \psi)^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} V_x, \\
[X_1, [X_1, X_2]] &= -\frac{\ell_2 \sin \psi}{(\ell_2 + \ell_1 \cos \psi)^2} V_x + \frac{\ell_1 + \ell_2 \cos \psi}{(\ell_2 + \ell_1 \cos \psi)^2} V_y,
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\text{span}\{X_1, X_2, [X_1, X_2], [X_1, [X_1, X_2]]\} = \text{TQ} \quad \text{everywhere} \quad \ell_2 I_1 \cos \psi \neq \ell_1 I_2.
\]

System is locally configuration accessible
20.10 A catalog of affine connection control systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Picture</th>
<th>Reducibility &amp; Controllability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>planar 2R robot</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>single torque at either joint</td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="planar 2R robot" /></td>
<td>(1,0): no reductions, accessible (0,1): decoupling v.f., fully reducible, not accessible or STLCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n = 2, m = 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>roller racer</td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="roller racer" /></td>
<td>no kinematic reductions, accessible, not STLCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>single torque at joint</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n = 4, m = 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>planar body with single force or torque</td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="planar body with single force or torque" /></td>
<td>decoupling v.f., reducible, not accessible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n = 3, m = 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>planar body with single generalized force</td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="planar body with single generalized force" /></td>
<td>no kinematic reductions, accessible, not STLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n = 3, m = 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>planar body with two forces</td>
<td><img src="image5" alt="planar body with two forces" /></td>
<td>two decoupling v.f., LKC, STLCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n = 3, m = 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>robotic leg</td>
<td><img src="image6" alt="robotic leg" /></td>
<td>two decoupling v.f., fully reducible and LKC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n = 3, m = 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>planar 3R robot, two torques</td>
<td><img src="image7" alt="planar 3R robot, two torques" /></td>
<td>(1,1,1) and (1,1,0): two decoupling v.f., LKC and STLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n = 3, m = 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rolling penny</td>
<td><img src="image8" alt="rolling penny" /></td>
<td>fully reducible and LKC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n = 4, m = 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>snakeboard</td>
<td><img src="image9" alt="snakeboard" /></td>
<td>two decoupling v.f., LKC, STLCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n = 5, m = 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D vehicle with 3 generalized forces</td>
<td><img src="image10" alt="3D vehicle with 3 generalized forces" /></td>
<td>three decoupling v.f., LKC, STLCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n = 6, m = 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary of Analysis Methods
(lectures #3 and #4)

Comprehensive, coherent body of work encompassing results on

(i) perturbation methods
(ii) kinematic reductions
(iii) controllability properties

Open directions

Averaging higher order, 2-time scales, gait analysis
Controllability gravity or generic dissipation
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20.11 Stabilization via the total energy as Lyapunov function

Consider a simple mechanical control system \((Q, G, V = 0, F)\) with equations

\[
\nabla_{\gamma'}\gamma' = G^{-1}F
\]

Goal: Stabilize \(q_0 \in Q\)

(i) fully actuated system: \(\text{span}(F) = T^*Q\)

(ii) \(\varphi: Q \to \mathbb{R}\) with critical zero and positive definite Hessian

\[
\varphi(q_0) = 0, \; d\varphi(q_0) = 0, \; \text{Hess} \varphi(q_0) > 0
\]

(iii) Rayleigh dissipation function \(K_d : TQ \to T^*Q\)

21 Tracking for Fully Actuated Systems

Objective: track reference trajectory \(\gamma_{\text{ref}}\)

Configuration and velocity errors:

(i) “distance” between \(q\) and \(r\) ............................... error function

- positive definite, symmetric, quadratic \(\Psi: Q \times Q \to \mathbb{R}\)

(ii) “distance” between \(\gamma'\) and \(\gamma'_{\text{ref}}\) .......................... transport map

- linear map \(T_{\gamma'}(q, r): T_rQ \to T_qQ\)
- velocity error is \(\dot{\epsilon} = \gamma' - T_{\gamma'(q,r)}\gamma'_{\text{ref}}\)

\[
\dot{\Psi} = (d_1\Psi, \dot{\epsilon})
\]

“compatibility:” \(d_2\Psi(q, r) = -T'_{\gamma'(q, r)}d_1\Psi(q, r)\)

Examples: joint or Euler angle rates, body-fixed angular velocities
21.1 Tracking on Manifolds

**Goal:** Track a reference $\gamma_{\text{ref}} : I \rightarrow Q$ for $\nabla_\gamma' \gamma' = G^{-1} F$

**PD + Feedforward:** Let $F = F_{\text{PD}} + F_{\text{FF}}$ with

$$
F_{\text{PD}}(\gamma', t) = -d_1 \Psi(\gamma, \gamma_{\text{ref}}) - K_d \dot{e}
$$

$$
F_{\text{FF}}(\gamma', t) = G \left( \left( \nabla_\gamma T(\gamma, x) w_{\gamma} \right) \bigg|_{w_{\gamma} = \gamma_{\text{ref}}} + \frac{d}{dt} \left( T(q, \gamma_{\text{ref}}) \gamma_{\text{ref}}' \right) \bigg|_{q = \gamma(t)} \right)
$$

(i) Lyapunov stability with exponential convergence rates.

(ii) time-varying Lyapunov function

$$
t \mapsto \Psi(\gamma(t), \gamma_{\text{ref}}(t)) + \frac{1}{2} \| \gamma'(t) - T(\gamma(t), \gamma_{\text{ref}}(t)) \gamma_{\text{ref}}'(t) \|^2
$$

(iii) $F_{\text{FF}}$ has two terms: “curvature” and acceleration of $\gamma_{\text{ref}}$

21.2 Table of Examples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Device</th>
<th>configuration space</th>
<th>error function</th>
<th>transport map/ velocity error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rob. manipulator</td>
<td>$\mathbb{R}^n$</td>
<td>$|q - r|^2$</td>
<td>$I_n$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pointing device</td>
<td>$S^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$</td>
<td>$1 - q^T r$</td>
<td>$(q^T r)I_3 + (r \times q)^T$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satellite</td>
<td>$SO(3)$</td>
<td>tr $(K(I_3 - RR_d^T))$</td>
<td>$\Omega - \Omega_d$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>tr $(K(I_3 - R^T R_d))$</td>
<td>$\Omega - R^T R_d \Omega_d$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submersible</td>
<td>$SE(3)$</td>
<td>[combination of $\mathbb{R}^3$ and $SO(3)$]</td>
<td>parallel transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riemannian mfld</td>
<td>$Q$</td>
<td>geodesic distance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

21.3 Effects of Different Choices of Error Computations

Closed-loop trajectory on $SO(3)$ with different feedforward

Closed-loop trajectory on $SE(2)$ with different feedback
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22 Motion planning for underactuated vehicles

(i) vehicles, robotic manipulators, locomotion devices
(ii) nonlinearity (kinetic energy, forces, configurations/velocities)
(iii) limited actuation (under-actuation, mag. & rate limits, ...)

22.1 Limited actuation provides for challenges

Real time motion planning
- feedforward and 2 degree-of-freedom design for aggressive tracking
- can compute feasible trajectory only via 2 pt. boundary value
  optimal control: iterative, off-line algorithms, convergence
- loss of controllability along minimum-time trajectories

Stabilization
- accurate hovering/station keeping (exponential stab.)
- reconfiguration after actuator failure (not linearly controllable)

Locomotion
- analysis of gaits and of novel propulsion mechanisms
- system design

22.2 Motion Planning Scenarios

$S$ is submanifold of trim conditions, helices, rel. equilibria, hover

(i) Classic Point-to-Point Setting: on manifold and linearly controllable

(ii) Point-to-Point remaining on manifold and system is not linearly controllable (low velocity regime, internal actuation, actuator failure, ill conditioned linearization)

(iii) Fast Point-to-Point via minimum-time trajectory and system is not linearly controllable

(iv) Harder: Point-to-Point away from $S$

22.3 Preliminaries: Numerical Optimal Control

Optimal Control
\[
\begin{align*}
\min & \int_0^T \|u(t)\|^2 dt \\
\text{subj} & \quad x(0) = 0, \quad x(T) = x_{\text{desired}} \\
& \quad \dot{x} = f(x, u) \\
& \quad |u| \leq 1, \quad |\dot{u}| \leq 1
\end{align*}
\]

Transcription
\[
\begin{align*}
u(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^{N_u} d_i \psi_i(t) \\
x(t) \sim x_j &= x(t_j) \quad j = 1, \ldots, N_x
\end{align*}
\]

Numerical implementation

Your favorite solver:
Sequence of QP
Feasible SQP
Dimension: $N_x + N_u$

Finite dimensional NLP
\[
\begin{align*}
\min & \quad \|d\|^2 \\
\text{subj} & \quad x_1 = 0, \quad x_{N_x} = x_{\text{desired}} \\
& \quad x_{j+1} = f(x_j, d) \\
& \quad y(d) \leq 1
\end{align*}
\]
22.4 Motion planning via primitives

Goal: reduce complexity & abstract dynamics

(i) quantize system dynamics into finite set of primitives \( \{P_1, \ldots, P_n\} \)
  system can evolve on primitive for arbitrary time
(ii) characterize switches/transitions between primitives
  transition requires a fixed duration and displacement

Wheeled robot example
  restrict search / abstract dynamics to straight lines and circles

\[ \text{Translate} \quad \text{Rotate} \]

switch / transition

23 Decoupled motion planning via kinematic controllability

23.1 Decoupling vector fields and kinematic controllability

Data structure

(i) given inertia tensor \( \mathcal{G} \), Christoffel symbols \( \Gamma^i_{jk} \)

\[ (\nabla_X Y)^i = \frac{\partial Y^i}{\partial q^j} X^j + \Gamma^i_{jk} X^j Y^k \]

and covariant derivative

(ii) given force co-vectors \( \{F^1, \ldots, F^m\} \),

\[ \mathcal{Y} = \text{span}\{Y_a = \mathcal{G}^{-1} F^a, a = 1, \ldots, m\} \]

Theorems

The vector field \( V \) is decoupling if and only if \( V \in \mathcal{Y} \) and \( \nabla_V V \in \mathcal{Y} \).

System is kinematically controllable if LARC on decoupling v. fields
23.2 Ex #1: A three-dimensional aerospace vehicle with three forces

kinematically controllable via body-fixed constant velocity fields

since invariant vector fields decoupled trajectory planning via inverse kinematic

23.3 Ex #2: Three link planar manipulator with passive link

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actuator configuration</th>
<th>Decoupling vector fields</th>
<th>Kinematically controllable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(0,1,1)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1,0,1)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1,1,0)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


23.4 Ex #3: The snakeboard and the roller racer

(i) snakeboard is kinematically controllable
(ii) roller racer is not:
(a) single input $Y$ such that $\nabla_Y Y \notin \text{span}\{Y\}$
(b) moves forward using zero mean (cyclic) input

24 Motion planning via series expansions

Linear Control Systems
\[ \dot{x} = Ax + bu(t) \]
where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

1) Solution from $x(0) = 0$ is
\[ x(t) = \int_0^t e^{A(t-s)}bu(s)ds. \]

2) Iff the system is controllable
\[ W_T = \int_0^T e^{A(T-s)}bb'e^{A'(T-s)}ds. \]

3) Open-loop control to reach $x_d$
\[ u(t) = b'e^{A'(T-t)}W_T^{-1}x_d. \]

Nonlinear Mechanical Systems
\[ \dot{x} = f_0(x) + \sum f_i(x)u_i(t) \]

1) Evolution is a series expansion, with iterated integrals of $u$ and iterated Lie brackets between $f_j$.

2) Controllability: sufficient tests include a full rank question.

3) Local constructive planning procedure: truncate the series, find an inverse (local motion primitives), combine in iterative fashion.
24.1 Mechanical control systems on matrix groups

(i) \( g \in G \) is configuration on \( n \)-dimensional matrix group
local coordinates via \( x = \log(g) \)

(ii) kinetic energy \( KE = \frac{1}{2}v^T I v \) with \( I > 0 \)
velocity in body frame

(iii) body-fixed forces \( f^1, \ldots, f^m \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^* \).

Generalized Christoffel symbols written with respect to a basis of left invariant
vector fields are constant.

24.2 Reviewing various concepts

- rewrite:
  \[
  \dot{v}^i + \Gamma^i_{jk} v^j v^k = \dot{v}^i + \frac{1}{2}(v : v)
  \]

- Given the family of input vectors \( \{b_1, \ldots, b_m\} \), define
  \( \overline{\text{Sym}} \{b_1, \ldots, b_m\} \)
  a symmetric product in \( \overline{\text{Sym}} \{b_1, \ldots, b_m\} \) is bad if it contains even number of
  each \( b_i \). Otherwise good.

  bad: \( \langle b_1 : b_1 \rangle, \langle b_1 : \langle b_2 : b_1 \rangle \rangle \)
  good: \( b_1, \langle b_1 : b_2 \rangle \)

- definite time integral:
  \[
  \overline{\beta}(t) = \int_0^t \beta(\tau) d\tau
  \]

24.3 Computing the “Force to Displacement” Map

\[
\dot{g} = g \cdot \dot{v}
\]

\[
\dot{v} + \frac{1}{2}(v : v) = \beta(t)
\]

With \( \epsilon \ll 1 \), let

\[
\beta(t, \epsilon) = \epsilon \beta^1(t) + \epsilon^2 \beta^2(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} b_k \left( \epsilon u_k^1(t) + \epsilon^2 u_k^2(t) \right)
\]

If \( x(0) = 0, v(0) = 0 \), then over finite interval

\[
v(t) = \epsilon \beta^1(t) + \epsilon^2 \left( \beta^2(t) - \frac{1}{2} \langle \beta^1 : \beta^1 \rangle(t) \right) + O(\epsilon^3)
\]

\[
x(t) = \epsilon \beta^1(t) + \epsilon^2 \left( \beta^2(t) - \frac{1}{2} \langle \beta^1 : \beta^1 \rangle(t) + \frac{1}{4} \langle \beta^1, \beta^1 \rangle(t) \right) + O(\epsilon^3)
\]

24.4 Example 1: single input systems

- planar rigid body with only \( b_2 = \overline{I}^{-1} f_2 \)

- set \( (\beta^1(t), \beta^2(t)) = (\pm \epsilon \psi(t) b_2, 0) \)

- provided \( \overline{\psi}(2\pi) = 0 \), we have:
  \[
  v(2\pi) \approx -\frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \langle \beta^1 : \beta^1 \rangle(2\pi) = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 \left( \int_0^{2\pi} \overline{\psi}^2 dt \right) ( -\langle b_2 : b_2 \rangle)
  \]

- independent of sign of \( \psi(t) \) (“energy integral” always positive)

- \( x(2\pi) \) behaves similarly
24.5 Simulation with "uni-directional" motion

red is force  green is center of mass

24.6 Example 2: systems with two inputs

- With $\beta^2 = 0$, and with $\frac{\beta^1}{\beta^1}(2\pi) = \frac{2\pi}{2} = (2\pi)$
  
  $v(2\pi) \approx -\frac{e^2}{2} \left( \frac{\beta^1}{\beta^1}(2\pi) \right)$

- Satellite with two thrusters
  - $\{b_1, b_2\}$ torques about first two axes
  - $\{b_k : b_k\} = 0$, $\{b_1 : b_2\}$ torque about third axis
  - If $\beta^1(t) = \psi(t) (b_1 + b_2)$ then
    
    $\left( \frac{\beta^1}{\beta^1}(2\pi) \right) = 2 (b_1 : b_2) \left( \int_0^{2\pi} \psi^2 \, dt \right)$

 energy integral  \Rightarrow  in-phase
 (classic area integral  \Rightarrow  out-of-phase)

24.7 Interpretation

given accelerations  
$\{b_i = I^{-1} f_i\}$

"reachable" velocities  
$\{b_i, \{b_j : b_k\}, \ldots\}$

"reachable" configurations  
$\{b_i, \{b_j : b_k\}, [b_j, b_k], \ldots\}$

24.8 Examples of systems with "fully reachable" velocities

24.9 Inverting the Approximate Map

- recall  $v(2\pi) \approx \frac{e^2}{2} \left( \frac{\beta^2 - \frac{1}{2} (\beta^1 : \beta^1)}{\beta^1}(2\pi) \right)$

- assume "controllable"
  
  $\text{rank}\{b_i, \{b_j : b_k\}\} = n$,
  
  $\{b_i : b_i\} \in \text{span}\{b_1, \ldots, b_m\}$

- Inverse$(v_{\text{desired}})$ : can design $(\beta_1(t), \beta_2(t))$

  $\left( \beta^2 - \frac{1}{2} (\beta^1 : \beta^1) \right)(2\pi) = v_{\text{desired}}$

  (i) in-phase inputs generate motion along good symmetric product
  
  (ii) uni-directional contribution due to bad symmetric products can be compensated for by lower order, good products
  
  (iii) $u^i_k$ sinusoids (cyclic, in-phase or orthogonal)
24.10 Primitives of Motion

use Inverse as building block for motion planning

| Change-Vel \( (\epsilon, v_{\text{final}}) \) ............... | steer velocity \( v(t) \) to \( \epsilon v_{\text{final}} \) |
|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Initial state: \( v(0) = \epsilon v_0 \)                  |
| Final state: \( v(2\pi) \approx \epsilon v_{\text{final}} \) |

| Maintain-Vel \( (\epsilon, v_{\text{nom}}) \) .......... | keeps velocity \( v(t) \) at \( \epsilon v_{\text{ref}} \) |
|------------------------------------------------------|
| Initial state: \( v(0) = \epsilon v_{\text{ref}} \)    |
| Final state: \( v(2\pi) \approx \epsilon v_{\text{ref}} \) |

(i) can compute change in \( g \)
(ii) expansions with low initial speed: \( v(0) = \epsilon v_1^0 + \epsilon^2 v_2^0 \)
(iii) “sum” contributions over finite and \( O(1/\epsilon) \) intervals

24.11 Point to point problem via constant velocity algorithm

**Goal** drive system from \((I_d, 0)\) to \((g_1, 0)\)

**Arguments** \((g_1, \sigma)\)

**Require** \( \log(g_1) \) well defined

1. \( N \leftarrow \text{Floor}(\| \log(g_1) \|/(2\pi \sigma)) \)
2. \( v_{\text{nom}} \leftarrow \log(g_1)/(2\pi \sigma N) \)
3. Change-Vel\((\sigma, v_{\text{nom}})\) \{start maneuver\}
4. for \( k = 1 \) to \((N - 1)\) do
5. \hspace{1em} Maintain-Vel\((\sigma, v_{\text{nom}})\) \{keep nominal velocity\}
6. end for
7. Change-Vel\((\sigma, 0)\) \{stop maneuver\}

\( N \) intervals \( \times \) \( \sigma v_{\text{nom}} \) = total displacement

24.12 Stabilization problem via iterative steering

**Goal** drive system to the state \((I_d, 0)\) exponentially as \( t \to \infty \)

**Arguments** \( \sigma \)

**Require** \( \| (\log(g(0)), v(0)) \| \leq \sigma \).

1. for \( k = 1 \) to \(+\infty\) do
2. \hspace{1em} \( t_k \leftarrow 4k\pi \) \{\( t_k \) is the current time\}
3. \hspace{1em} \( \sigma_k \leftarrow \| (\log(g(t_k)), v(t_k)) \| \)
4. \hspace{1em} Change-Vel\((\sigma_k, -(\log(g(t_k))) + \pi v(t_k))/(2\pi \sigma_k)\) \)
5. \hspace{1em} Change-Vel\((\sigma_k, 0)\)
6. end for

two primitives force final configuration and velocity to vanish

24.13 Simulation of Point-to-Point Problem

**Properties of algorithms**

- closed form, negligible computational load
- asymptotic behavior: time \( O(\epsilon^{-1}) \), final error \( (\epsilon^{3/2}) \)
- series expansion approach leads to complete algorithms
24.14 Simulations for 3D vehicle motion primitive based on local inversion

global planning

25 Motion planning for polynomial systems

Linear Control Systems
\[ \dot{x} = Ax + Bu(t) \]
1) Solution from \( x(0) = 0 \) is
\[ x(t) = \int_0^t e^{A(t-s)} Bu(s) \, ds \]
2) If the system is controllable
\[ W = \int_0^T e^{A(T-s)} B B' e^{A(T-s)} \, ds > 0 \]
3) Open-loop control to reach \( x_d \)
\[ u(t) = B' e^{A(T-t)} W^{-1} x_d \]

Nonlinear Mechanical Systems
\[ \dot{x} = f_0(x) + \sum f_i(x) u_i(t) \]
1) Characterize flow map
\[ x(T) = \Phi(u) \]
2) Controllability: range \( \Phi \)
3) Local planning:
\[ u = \Phi^1(x_d) \]

25.1 Series for polynomial systems
For low-dimensional models of aerospace and underwater vehicles, trigonometric dependencies can be turned into polynomial:
\[ \dot{x} = Ax + f^{[2]}(x, x) + Bu, \quad x(0) = x_0, \]
\[ f^{[2]} : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n \text{ is a symmetric tensor} \]

evolution via (Volterra) series
\[ x(t) = \Phi(u) = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} x_k(t) \]
\[ x_1(t) = e^{At} x_0 + \int_0^t e^{A(t-\tau)} B u(\tau) \, d\tau \]
\[ x_k(t) = \int_0^t e^{A(t-\tau)} \left( \sum_{a=1}^{k-1} f^{[2]}(x_a(\tau), x_{k-a}(\tau)) \right) \, d\tau \]

25.2 Constructive controllability
Let \( x(0) = 0 \), choose base functions:
\[ u(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \psi^i(t) c_i, \quad c \in \mathbb{R}^n \]
then \( x(T) = \Phi(u) = \Phi(c) \)
\[ x_k(T) = \Phi_k(c, \ldots, c) \]
\[ \|x_k\| = O(\|c\|^k) \]
To have \( x(T) = x_d \), solve
\[ x_d = \Phi_1 c + \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} \Phi_k(c, \ldots, c) \]
For boundaries conditions, solve
\[ x_d = \Phi_1 \lambda_0 + \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} \Phi_k(\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_0) \]
25.4 Expression for $\Phi$ tensors

In constructive controllability

$$\Phi_1(t) = \int_0^t e^{A(t-\tau)} B \psi^i(\tau) d\tau$$

$$\Phi_2^{i_1 i_2}(t) = \int_0^t e^{A(t-\tau)} f^{[2]}(\Phi_1^{i_1}(\tau), \Phi_1^{i_2}(\tau)) d\tau,$$

$$\Phi_3^{i_1 i_2 i_3}(t) = \int_0^t e^{A(t-\tau)} \left( f^{[2]}(\Phi_1^{i_1}(\tau), \Phi_2^{i_2 i_3}(\tau)) + f^{[2]}(\Phi_2^{i_1 i_2}(\tau), \Phi_1^{i_3}(\tau)) \right) d\tau$$

To evaluate at $t = T$

$$\Phi_k^{i_1 \ldots i_k}(t) = \int_0^t e^{A(t-\tau)} \left( \sum_{a=1}^{k-1} f^{[2]}(\Phi_a^{i_1 \ldots i_a}(\tau), \Phi_{k-a}^{i_{a+1} \ldots i_k-1}(\tau)) \right) d\tau.$$
25.8 Quadratic inversion (compare with linear case)

(i) Let \( N = m(m - 1)/2 \), \( P = \{(j, k) \mid 1 \leq j < k \leq m\} \), \( 1 \leq \alpha \leq N \), and
\[
\psi_\alpha(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left( \alpha \sin(\alpha t) - (\alpha + N) \sin((\alpha + N)t) \right).
\]

(ii) Compute \((m + N)\) real numbers \( z_i \) and \( z_{jk} \) such that
\[
x_d = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} z_i B_i + \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} z_{jk} f^{[2]}(B_j, B_k).
\]

(iii) Let \( a : P \to \{1, \ldots, N\} \) be an enumeration of \( P \), and set
\[
\begin{align*}
    b^1(t) &= \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} \sqrt{|z_{jk}|} \left( B_j - \text{sign}(z_{jk}) B_k \right) \psi_{a(j,k)}(t) \\
    b^2(t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} z_i b_i + \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} |z_{jk}| \left( f^{[2]}(B_j, B_j) + f^{[2]}(B_k, B_k) \right)
\end{align*}
\]
\[
Bu(t) = b^1(t) + b^2(t) = \text{Inverse}(x_d)
\]

25.9 Simulations for nonlinearly controllable systems

Summary of Design Methods
(lectures \#5 and \#6)

Body of work encompassing results on

(i) stabilization via energy methods for fully actuated systems

(ii) motion planning via kinematic reductions

(iii) motion planning via low amplitude oscillations

(iv) talk by Jorge Cortés on motion planning via high amplitude oscillations

Open directions

Motion control via low amplitude oscillations general manifold case

Motion control via kinematic reductions numerical methods for inverse kinematics, time-varying feedback stabilizers